

---

# Stability Analysis Of First Order Homogeneous Sylvester System Of Difference Equations

Dr.P. Ramesh<sup>1</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Department of Basic Sciences, College of Agricultural Engineering, Prof. Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University

K.N. Murty<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Andhra University, Waltair 530003

Email: [chantiramesh16@gmail.com](mailto:chantiramesh16@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** This Paper Presents A Criterion For The Analysis Of Stability, Observability And Reliability Criteria For The Homogeneous First Order Sylvester System. Stability, Observability And Reliability For Homogeneous Lyapunov System Are Deduced As A Particular Case Of Our Results.

**Keywords And Phrases:** Fundamental Matrix, Homogeneous First Order Homogeneous Systems, Stability Analysis. AMS(Mos) Classifications: 34B35, 34D05, 34C11

---

## Introduction:

This paper presents criteria for the existence and uniqueness of solutions to general first order difference equation

$$T(n+1) = AT(n)B$$

(1.1)

Where  $A$  and  $b$  are constant square matrices of order  $(k \times k)$  and  $T$  is an unknown  $(k \times k)$  square matrix. The general solution of homogeneous system is due to Murty, Anand and Lakshmi Prasanna [9] published in proceedings of American mathematical society. Our aim in this paper is to study the stability analysis of (1.1) and obtain the stability criteria of the Lyapunov system

$$T(n+1) = AT(n)A^*$$

(1.2)

As a particular case.

Difference equations in fact appear as a natural description of the observed evaluation phenomena because most measurements of time evolving variables are discrete and as such these equations are in their own right are important mathematical models. Apart from that difference equations also appear in the study of discretization methods for differential equations. Several results on the theory of difference equations have been obtained as natural discrete analog of the continuous differential equations. This is essentially available in the case of boundary value problems and Lyapunov theory of stability. Nevertheless, the theory of difference equations is lot richer than the corresponding theory of differential equation. Recently Sriram Bhagavatula, Yan Wu and Murty [4,5] obtained iterative behaviors to the solution of the third order differential equations via fixed point methods. These results can be generalized to discrete third order systems using fixed point iterative techniques, as the theory is much more richer than the theory of differential equations. The paper is organized as follows: section 2 deals with preliminary notions and our main results and conclusions are presented in section 3. It may be noted that the results established by Sriram Bhagavatula, P. Sailaja and K.N. Murty [9] presented a systematic approach on Kronecker product first order difference system in [5,6,7]. Lakshmi, Sriram and Madhu [7] presented a systematic analysis of linear system on time scalar dynamical system in [1,8].

**Preliminaries:**

We shall use in this paper of  $N^+$  and  $N_{n_0}^+$  are

Defined as initial sets. We shall denote a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  which is the set of all values of the function  $y$  on

$N_{n_0}^+$ . the Sylvester equation

$$T(n+1) = AT(n)B + F(n) \tag{2.1.1}$$

Where  $f(n)$  is a  $(k \times k)$  square given matrix. For every  $n \in N_{n_0}^+$ , the equation (2.1.1) is said to be

homogeneous, if  $F \equiv 0$ . It may be noted that wronskian of the matrix  $K(n)$  is defined as the set of  $k$  functions

$F(n)$  on  $N_{n_0}^+$  as

$$K(n) = \begin{bmatrix} F_1(n) & F_2(n) & \dots & F_k(n) \\ F_1(n+1) & F_2(n+1) & \dots & F_k(n+1) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ F_1(n+k-1) & F_2(n+k-1) & \dots & F_k(n+k-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $l$  be the linear differential operator given by

$$L(y_n) = A(n)y_n + b_n \tag{2.1.2}$$

Where  $a$  is a  $(k \times k)$  matrix,  $y_n$  is a given  $(k \times 1)$  vector and  $b_n \in R^n$

The homogeneous equation associated with (2.1.2) is given by

$$L(y_n) = y_{n+1} = A(n)y_n \tag{2.1.3}$$

Let  $e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_k$  be the unit vectors of  $R^k$  and  $y(n, n_0, e_i)$   $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$  the  $k$  solutions having  $e_i$  as the initial vectors.

**Lemma: 2.1:** any elements of  $s$  (the space of solutions passing through  $y_{n_0}$ ) can be expressed as a linear combination of  $y(n, n_0, e_i)$   $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$ .

**Proof:** let  $y(n, n_0, c)$  be a solution of (2.1.3) satisfying  $y(n_0) = C$  from the linearity of  $s$

And from.

$$C = \sum_i^n c_i e_j$$

it follows that  $Z_n$  satisfies (2.1.3),

$$Z_n = \sum_i^n c_i y(n, n_0, e_i)$$

And has  $c$  as initial vector. Thus from the uniqueness of initial value problems  $z_n = y(n, n_0, c)$

Note that, if the columns of  $K(n)$  are linearly independent and each column of  $K(n)$  is solution of (2.1.3)

Then  $\det(K(n+1)) = \det A(n) \cdot \det(K(n))$ .

The matrix satisfying  $K(n+1) = A(n) K(n)$  is called the casorate matrix.

**Definition 2.1.1:** given any  $k$  linear independent solutions of (2.1.3) and a vector  $c \in R^k$  of arbitrary components then  $K(n)c$  is called general solution of (2.1.3). Fixing the initial value condition  $y(n_0) = y_{n_0}$  we get

$$y(n, n_0, y_{n_0}) = K(n) K^{-1}(n_0) y_{n_0} \quad (2.1.4)$$

We use the notation  $\Phi(n, s) = K(n) K^{-1}(s)$  since  $\Phi(n, s)$  (4) satisfies (2.1.2), it follows that  $\Phi(n+1, s) = A(n) \Phi(n, s)$ . moreover  $\Phi(n, n) = I_k$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . We shall call  $\Phi$  is the fundamental matrix.

now, we turn our attention to the linear system (2.1.1). Let  $y(n)$  be a fundamental matrix solution of

$$T(n+1) = AT(n) \quad \text{and} \quad Z^*(n) \quad \text{be a fundamental matrix solution of} \quad T(n+1) = B^* T(n)$$

Then any solution of homogeneous equation  $T(n+1) = AT(n)B$  is of the form  $T(n) = Y(n)CZ^*(n)$  where  $c$  is the  $(k \times k)$  square matrix and in fact a constant non-singular matrix.

For

$$\begin{aligned} (YCZ^*)(n+1) &= AY CZ^* B \\ Y(n+1)CZ^*(n+1) &= AY(n)CZ^* B \end{aligned}$$

Since  $z$  is a fundamental matrix solution of  $Z(n+1) = B^* Z(n)$ .

It follows that  $Z^*(n+1) = Z^*(n)B$ . Hence  $T(n) = Y(n)CZ^*(n)$  is the solution of homogeneous system

$$T(n+1) = AT(n)B$$

If  $T(n)$  is any solution of (2.1.1) and  $\overline{T(n)}$  is a particular solution of (2.1.1), then  $T(n) - \overline{T(n)}$  is a solution of

the homogeneous system  $T(n+1) = AT(n)B$ . thus

$$T(n) - \overline{T(n)} = Y(n)CZ^*(n)$$

(or)

$$T(n) = \overline{T(n)} + Y(n)CZ^*(n)$$

A particular solution  $\overline{T(n)}$  of the system (2.1.1) is given by

$$\bar{T} = \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} Y(n, j) C Z^*(n, j)$$

Therefore

$$T(n) = Y(n) C Z^*(n) + \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} Y(n, j) C Z^*(n, j)$$

To study the stability, observability and reliability criteria for the homogeneous system  $T(n+1) = AT(n)B$  we need to study the behavior of solutions of the fundamental matrices  $Y(n, j)$  and  $Z^*(n, j)$ .

### 3. Stability, controllability and observability criteria:

In this section, we establish our main results on stability, controllability and realizability criteria associated with the system (2.1.1). In fact, our aim is to develop a solid foundation for a linear system theory which in fact coincides with the existing canonical system theory in the discrete case. A fascinating fact is that all the widely different disciplines of application depend on a common core of mathematical techniques of the modern control theory [1]. In this section, we also present a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for the first order time scale discrete system (2.1.1) to be completely controllable, observable and realizable. We also present stability criteria for linear non-homogeneous system of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n+1) &= AT(n)B(n) + B(n)U(n), & T(n_0) &= T_{n_0} \\ Y(n) &= C(n)T(n) + D(n)U(n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$\text{Definition 3.1.1: the linear system } T(n+1) = AT(n)B \tag{3.1.2}$$

Satisfying the initial condition  $T(n_0) = T_{n_0}$  is said to be stable, if there exists two positive constants  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  such that  $\|Y(n, n_0)\| \leq M_1$  and  $\|Z(n, n_0)\| \leq M_2$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

**Definition 3.1.2:** the linear system (3.1.2) is said to be uniformly stable, if there exist two positive constants  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  such that  $\|T(n+1)\| \leq \|T_{n_0}\| e^{-(\lambda+\nu)(t-t_0)}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$

**Theorem 3.1.1:** the linear system (3.1.2) satisfying  $T(n_0) = T_{n_0}$  is uniformly exponential stable if and only if for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} \|Y(n+j) C Z^*(n+j)\| \leq \|T_{n_0}\| \nu e^{-\lambda(n-n_0)}, \quad n \geq n_0$$

we now confine our attention to the controllability criteria of the linear non-homogeneous difference system (3.1.1).

**Definition 3.1.3:** the linear non-homogeneous system (3.1.1) is said to be completely controllable, if there exist a  $(k \times k)$  symmetric controllable matrix

$$W(n, 0) = \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} Y(n_0, j) B(j) B^*(j) C Z^*(n_0, j)$$

Is non-singular,

Where  $Y(n, n_0)$  is a fundamental matrix solution of  $T(n+1) = AT(n)$  and  $Z(n, n_0)$  is a fundamental matrix solution of  $T(n+1) = T(n)B^*$ . The homogeneous linear system (3.1.2) is said to be asymptotically stable if

$$\|Y(n, n_0)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \|Z(n, n_0)\| \leq M \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0$$

(or)

$$\|Z(n, n_0)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \|Y(n, n_0)\| \leq m \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

**Definition 3.1.3:** the non-homogeneous system (3.1.1) is said to be completely controllable if for any initial state  $T(n_0) = T_{n_0}$  and given final state  $T(n_f)$  there exist a finite time  $n \geq n_0$  and a control  $U(n)$ ,  $n_0 \leq n \leq n_f$  such that  $T(n_f) = T_f$ .

**Definition 3.1.4:** the non-homogeneous system (3.1.1) is said to be completely observable over the interval  $[n_0, N]$  if the knowledge of the rule of the base of input  $\overset{\Delta}{U}$  output  $\overset{\Delta}{Y}$  over  $[n_0, N]$  satisfies to determine the rule base of the initial system  $\overset{\Delta}{T}_{n_0}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.2:** the non-homogeneous system (3.1.1) is completely controllable if the  $k^2 \times k^2$  symmetric Matix

$$W(0, N) = \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} Y(n-j-1) Z^*(n-j-1) U_j U_j^* Z(n-j-1) Y^*(n-j-1) \quad (3.1.3)$$

(where \* indicates the complex conjugate) is non-singular.

**Proof:** suppose that the controllability matrix  $W(0, N)$  is non-singular. Then  $W^{-1}(0, N)$  exists and therefore multiply (3.1.3) by  $W^{-1}(0, N) \Phi(N) Z(N)$ ,

we get

$$Y(n) Z^*(n) T(n_0) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Y(n-j-1) Z^*(n-j-1) U_j U_j^* Z(n-j-1) Y^*(n-j-1) W^{-1}(0, N) Y(n-j-1) Z^*(n-j-1) T(n_0) Z(n-j-1) Y^*(n-j-1)$$

Now, our problem is to find the control  $\overset{\Delta}{U}(n)$  such that

$$\overset{\Delta}{T}(n) = \overset{\Delta}{T}_{n_0} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Y(n-j-1) Z^*(n-j-1) \overset{\Delta}{T}_0 Z(n-j-1) Y^*(n-j-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Y(n-j-1) Z^*(n-j-1) U_j U_j^* Z(n-j-1) Y^*(n-j-1)$$

$$\hat{U}(N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum \left\{ (U_j, U_j^*)^{-1} Y(n-j-1) Z^*(n-j-1) U_j U_j^* Z(n-j-1) Y^*(n-j-1) \hat{T}_0 \right\}.$$

**Case 1:** when  $\hat{T}(N) = \hat{T}_{nf}$  then, the corresponding control  $\hat{U}(n)$ .

$$Lu(n) = u^{(k)} + p_1 u^{(k-1)} + \dots + p_n u = f(n) \quad (3.1.4).$$

And

$$Lv(n) = v^{(k)} + q_1 v^{(k-1)} + \dots + q_n v = g(n)$$

**Case2** when  $\hat{T}(N) = \{\hat{T}_1(n), \hat{T}_2(n), \hat{T}_3(n), \dots, \hat{T}_{k^2}(n)\}$  equation (3.1.3) gives the control  $\hat{U}(n)$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.

**Theorem 3.1.3:** the non-homogeneous control system (3.1.1) is completely observable over the interval  $[n_0, N]$  if and only if the symmetric  $(k \times k)$  observability matrix.

$$M(n_0, n_f) = \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} Y(n-j-1) C^*(n) C(n) Z^*(n-j-1) \text{ is non singular.}$$

**Proof:** First suppose that the system (3.1.1) is completely observable. Then there exist a non-zero matrix

$$\text{Hence } C(n)Y(n, n_0)T Z^*(n, n_0) = 0$$

Thus,  $T(n_0) \square T_n \square X \square$  yields the same – input response for the system with  $T(n_0) \square T_{n_0}$

and the system is not observable on  $[n_0, n_f]$ , a contradiction

Conversely, suppose the Gramian matrix  $M(n_0, n_f)$  is invertible. Then the solution expression

$$T(n) \square C(n)Y(n, n_0)T Z^*(n, n_0) \text{ is multiplied by } Y^*(n, n_0)T C^*(n), \text{ and submitting to get}$$

$$\sum_{j=n_0} Y(n, n-j-1) C^*(n) C(n) Z^*(n, n-j) = M(n)$$

The left-hand side of the above expression is determined by  $T(n)$  for  $n \square [n_0, n_f]$  and the equation is linear

algebraic equation in  $T$ . Since  $M(n_0, n_f)$  is non-singular it follows that  $T_n$  is determined uniquely and hence to state equation is observable. This is there for all  $n \square [n_0, n_f]$  it follows that the state equation is completely observable and to proof of the theorem is complete Now, we confine our attention to the two first order linear differential equations of  $n^{th}$

stability analysis.

For, let

$$Lu(n) = u^{(k)} + p_1 u^{(k-1)} + \dots + p_k u = f(n) \quad (3.1.4)$$

and

$$Lv(n) = v^{(k)} + q_1 v^{(k-1)} + \dots + q_k v = g(n) \quad (3.1.5)$$

If  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$  be  $k$  linearly independent solutions of  $Lu(n) \square 0$ , Then  $K(u(n))$  is a fundamental

matrix of the companion linear system and similarly, if  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  are  $k$  linearly independent solutions of  $Lv(n) \square 0$ , then  $K(v(n))$  is a fundamental matrix the companion linear system then any solution of

is of the form  $T(n) \leq K(u(n))CK^*(v(n))$ ,

where  $C$  is a constant  $(k \times k)$  square matrix. The controllability and observability criteria established above can be studied for the linear system  $T(n+1) = AT(n)B$ .

In order to avoid monotony, we even omit stating those results.

#### References:

1. S. Barnett and R. G. Cameron, introduction to mathematical control theory, second edition Oxford University Press 1985.
2. V. Lakshmikantham and D. Trigiante, theory of difference equations numerical methods and applications, Academic Press Inc, vol: 181 (1988).
3. Sriram Bhagavatula, P. Ramesh and Dileep Durani Musa "iterative techniques for existence and uniqueness of solution to third order differential equation via fixed point methods", International Journal of Recent Scientific Research, vol:13, no: 2, pp427-431, Feb 2022.
4. Kasiviswanadhv. Kanuri, Yan Wu, Sriram Bhagavatula, "existence of  $(f, y)$  bounded solutions of linear Sylvester system of first order differential equations", International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, vol:11, no:10, Oct 2020, p: 25399-25407.
5. Sriram Bhagavatula, P. Sailaja, K. N. Murty, "Kronecker product of matrices and applications to two-point boundary value problems associated with first order matrix difference systems", International Journal of Engineering and Computer Science, vol:11, no:1, Jan 2022, p: 25473-25482.
6. Kasi Viswanadhv. Kanuri, Sriram Bhagavatula and K. N. Murty, "stability analysis of linear Sylvester system of first order differential equations". International Journal of Engineering and Computer Science, vol:9, no: 1, 2020, p:25252-25259.
7. Lakshmi Vellanki. N, Sriram Bhagavatula, Madhu .J, "stability analysis of linear Sylvester system on time scale dynamical system - a new approach", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, vol:07, no:12, Dec 2020, p: 142-150.
8. P. Sailaja, Sriram Bhagavatula, K. N. Murty, "existence of bounded solutions of linear first order fuzzy Lyapunov system - a new approach." International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, vol: 8, no:1, Jan 2021, p: 393-402.
9. K. N. Murty, P. V. S. Annad and Lashmi Prasanna. V. "existence and uniqueness of solutions to linear first order differential system with applications to control systems, proceedings of American Mathematical Society, 1995.