Texture Classification with Motif Shape Patterns on Local Directional Pattern

Dr. P Chandra Sekhar Reddy

Professor, CSE Dept.

Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyd.

pchandureddy@yahoo.com

Abstract: The present paper evaluates motif shape patterns on Local Directional Pattern for texture classification. In this method Local Directional Pattern is computed on the image and then motif shape parameters are evaluated. These shape patterns are used as features for classification. The present method extensively tested on the Dataset consists of various brick, granite, and marble and mosaic stone textures collected from Vistex, Mayang database and also from natural resources from digital camera. The experimental results demonstrate that it is simple and much more effective than the other methods for texture classification.

Keywords: Texture classification, LDP, Motif shape patterns

1. Introduction

Texture classification plays an important role in computer vision and image processing. In the past decades, numerous algorithms for texture feature extraction have been proposed, many of which focus on extracting texture features that are robust to noises, rotation and illumination variants [1]. Goyal et al. [2] proposed a method by using texel property histogram. Cohen et al. [3] characterized texture as Gaussian Markov random fields and used the maximum likelihood to estimate rotation angles. Chen and Kundu [4] addressed rotation invariant by using multichannel sub-bands decomposition and hidden Markov model (HMM). Recently, Varma and Zisserman [5,6,7] proposed to cluster a rotation invariant texton dictionary from a training set, and then form the textural histogram based on these textons. Later, Xu et al. [8,9,10] presented scale invariant texture classification methods by using a multi-fractal spectrum (MFS). In [11, 12], Ojala et.al. proposed to use the Local Binary Pattern(LBP) for rotation invariant texture classification.

The primitives and their spatial arrangements are used to characterize textures. For example, morphological operations are used to characterize textures [13]. Song's method [14] decomposes textures into a set of scale images, finds square texels of the same size at each scale, and uses the histogram of the texels as texture features. The method proposed by Gui et al. [15] extracts the size, position, periodicity, and spatial organization of texels to analyze textures. Face recognition with the Local Directional Pattern [16]. This LDP is better way of representing textures compared to LBP. So, the present paper uses motif shape patterns on LDP of images. This paper is organized as follows. In section II, proposed methodology is described, section III includes results and discussions and conclusions are drawn in section IV.

II. Methodology

Step -1: Color image to gray image conversion

The given colour image is converted into a grey level image using RGB color quantization method.

Step2: Local Directional Pattern

The present research uses a Local Directional Pattern concept [16], which overcomes the drawbacks of LBP and is more robust for classification. The local descriptor LDP considers the edge response values in all different directions instead of surrounding neighboring pixel intensities. The LDP is an eight bit binary code assigned to each pixel of an input image. This pattern is calculated by comparing the relative edge response value of a pixel in different directions. For this purpose, the present paper evaluates LDP as eight directional edge response value of a particular pixel using Kirsch masks in eight different orientations (M0-M7) centered on its own position. These masks are shown in the Fig.2. By applying eight masks, eight edge response values m0, m1, ..., m7 are obtained, each representing the edge significance in its respective direction. The response values are not equally important in all directions. The LDP is formed considering only first three values of sorted edge responses in descending order.



Fig.2: Kirsch edge response masks in eight directions.

Step-3 : Motif Shape patterns

The LDP image is divided into 2 x 2 grids. These grids are then replaced by a particular Peano scan motif which would traverse the grid in the optimal sense. Here, the optimality of the Peano scan is with respect to the incremental difference in LDP values along the scan line minimizing the variation in the LDP values in a local neighborhood. In general, 24 different Peano scans (motifs) could traverse a 2x2 grid. But we consider only the Peano scans (motifs) which start from the top left a corner of the grid because they represent a complete family of space filling curve, reducing the number of motifs to only six [17]. The motif shape patterns are defined over a 2×2 grid, each depicting a distinct sequence of pixels starting from the top left corner as shown in figure 3 for age group classification and are denoted as Z, N, U, C, Gamma, and Alpha respectively. The present paper considers motif shape patterns on LDP of the texture image. The frequency occurrences of all these shape patterns are evaluated on LDP of the image with a 2 x2 grid from left to right and top to bottom in non-overlapped fashion. The process of finding motif shape patterns on LDP is shown in figure 4.

III. Results and Discussions

In this paper presented the results of the experiments on the dataset, which consists of various brick, granite, marble and mosaic stone textures collected from Vistex, Mayang, Akarmarble, Brodatz databases and also from natural resources from digital camera. Sample of Granite, Mosaic, Marble, Brick textures are shown in Fig.7.

Texture images are classified based on frequency occurrences of the shape patterns Z, N, C, U, GAMMA, and ALPHA and

Sum of Frequency Occurrences of the Motif Shape Patterns (SFMSP). The frequency occurrences of Z, N, C, U, GAMMA and ALPHA are represented with FZ, FN, FC, FU, FGAMMA, and FALPHA respectively. The proposed method is investigated on considered dataset and the results are shown in Table 1. From the results, frequency occurrences motif shape patterns N, U, GAMMA and ALPHA and also sum of frequency occurrences of the motif shape patterns are considered as features for texture classification. The classification algorithm is proposed with these features, has given 96% correct classification rate.



Fig 3: Motif Shape Patterns Z, N, U, C, Gamma and Alpha

205	56	79	65
110	48	78	90
54	111	219	99
210	203	65	90

(a) LDP image

(b) Motif shape patterns on 2x2 grid of LDP

Fig 4: Computation of Motif Shape Patterns on LDP images



Fig 5: Sample images of Granite, Mosaic, Marble, Brick Textures

IMAGE	FZ	FN	FC	FU	FGAMMA	FALPHA	SFMSP
Granite1	1771	1212	1832	1420	1070	967	8272
Granite2	1711	1358	1758	1502	972	947	8248
Granite3	1735	1455	1603	1634	957	932	8316
Granite4	1625	1426	1777	1621	906	901	8256
Granite5	1626	1441	1654	1620	926	961	8228
Granite6	1580	1369	1683	1541	948	964	8085
Granite7	1416	1541	1504	1696	986	1071	8214
Marble1	1428	1317	1520	1372	1086	1135	7858
Marble2	1430	1339	1564	1424	1112	1084	7953
Marble3	1400	1254	1536	1406	1137	1102	7835
Marble4	1459	1273	1519	1461	1049	1149	7910
Marble5	1444	1245	1516	1349	1143	1145	7842
Marble6	1374	1213	1423	1385	1187	1146	7728
Marble7	1504	1306	1586	1405	1064	988	7853
Brick1	2613	1011	2700	1496	794	745	9359
Brick2	2521	716	2560	1227	885	817	8726
Brick3	2578	1121	2622	1538	883	744	9486
Brick4	2480	1150	2639	1580	849	746	9444
Brick5	2407	1272	2533	1555	834	716	9317
Brick6	2442	920	2519	1401	870	809	8961
Brick7	2267	1266	2385	1607	813	805	9143
Mosaic1	2481	2074	2610	2349	1069	998	11581
Mosaic2	2137	2135	2242	2345	1369	1362	11590
Mosaic3	2097	2211	2256	2417	1413	1387	11781
Mosaic4	3619	4193	3754	4313	2111	2108	20098
Mosaic5	3726	3191	3810	3387	1905	1917	17936
Mosaic6	3167	3000	3243	3177	1663	1614	15864
Mosaic7	3774	3237	3870	3511	1938	1944	18274

Table 1: The Frequency Occurrences of Motif Shape Patterns on LDP of Images

Algorithm 1: Texture classification using frequency occurrences of Motif Shape Patterns on LDP images

BEGIN

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mbox{IF} ({\rm SFMSP} > 8085 \& {\rm SFMSP} < 8316) \\ \mbox{WRITE} ("{\rm GRANITE} IMAGE") \\ \mbox{ELSE} IF ({\rm SFMSP} > 7728 \& {\rm SFMSP} L < 7953) \\ \mbox{WRITE} ("{\rm MARBLE} IMAGE") \\ \mbox{ELSE} IF (({\rm FGAMMA} > 784 \& {\rm FGAMMA} < 885) \& \\ ({\rm FALPHA} > 716 \& {\rm FALPHA} < 817) \& ({\rm SFMSP} > 8726 \& \\ \mbox{SFMSP} < 9486)) \\ \mbox{WRITE} ("{\rm BRICK} IMAGE") \\ \mbox{ELSE} IF (({\rm FN} > 2074 \& {\rm FN} < 4193) \& ({\rm FU} > 2345 \& {\rm FU} < \\ \mbox{4313}) \& ({\rm SFMSP} > 11581 \& {\rm SFMSP} < 20098)) \\ \mbox{WRITE} ("{\rm MOSAIC} IMAGE") \\ \mbox{ELSE} \end{array}
```

WRITE("OTHER IMAGE")

END

The proposed method for texture classification is compared with existing methods syntactic pattern on 3D method [18], primitive pattern unit approach [19] and texton feature evolution method [20]. The comparison chart of the proposed method with the other existing methods is shown in Fig.6. From the graph, it clearly indicates that the proposed LDP based motif shape patterns method outperforms the other existing methods.



Fig.6: Classification rates of proposed and existing methods

IV. Conclusion

The proposed method for texture classification uses features motif shape patterns on LDP of images. In this method edge responsiveness of each pixel in eight directions are evaluated and only prominent edge directions are considered for LDP. The motif shape patterns on this LDP are evaluated as features for classification. The algorithm1 with these new set of features classified texture images with a good classification rate compared to other existing methods. This method is very simple, effective and efficient for texture classification.

REFERENCES

[1]J.G.Zhang, T.N.Tan, Brief review of invariant texture analysis methods, Pattern Recognition35(2002)735–747.

[2]R.K.Goyal, W.L.Goh, D.P.Mitaletal., Scale and rotation invariant texture analysis based on structural property, in:Proceedings of the1995 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Electronics, Control, and Instrumentation, 1–2,1995,pp.1290–1294.

[3]F.S.Cohen, Z.G.Fan, M.A.Patel, Classification of rotated and scaled textured images using Gaussian Markov random field models, IEEETrans.PatternAnal. Mach. Intell.13(2)(1991)192–202.

[4]J.L.Chen, A.Kundu, Rotation and gray scale transform invariant texture recognition using hidden Markovmodel,Icassp-92, International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing,1–5,1992,pp.C69–C72.

[5] M. Varma, A. Zisserman, A statistical approach to material classification using image patch exemplars, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 31 (11) (2009) 2032–2047.

[6] M. Varma, A. Zisserman, A statistical approach to texture classification from single images, Int. J. Comput. Vision 62 (1-2) (2005) 61–81.

[7] M. Varma, A. Zisserman, Texture classification: are filter banks necessary? International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2003, pp. 691–698.

[8] Y. Xu, H. Ji, C. Fermuller, A projective invariant for texture, International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2006, pp. 1932–1939.

[9] Y. Xu, H. Ji, C. Fermuller, Viewpoint invariant texture description using fractal analysis, Int. J. Comput. Vision 83 (1) (2009) 85–100.

[10] Y. Xu, X. Yang, H. Lin, H. Ji, A new texure descriptor using multi fractal analysis in multi-orientation wavelet pyramid, International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2010, pp. 161–168.

[11] T. Ojala, M. Pietikäinen, D. Harwood, A comparative study of texture measures with classification based on featured distributions, Pattern Recogn. 29 (1) (1996) 51–59.

[12] T. Ojala, M. Pietikainen, T. Maenpaa, Multiresolution gray-scale and rotation invariant texture classification with local binary patterns, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 24 (7) (2002) 971–987.

[13] A. Hanbury, U. Kandaswamy, D. Adjeroh, Illumination-invariant morpholo- gical texture classification, in: 40 Years On Mathematical Morphology, vol. 30, pp. 377–386.

[14] Q. Song, A New Multi-scale Texture Analysis with Structural Texel, Advanced Research on Computer Science and Information Engineering, vol. 152, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 61–66.

[15] Y. Gui, M. Chen, L. Ma, Z. Chen, Texel based regular and nearregular texture characterization, in: International Conference on Multimedia and Signal Processing (CMSP), vol. 1, 2011, pp. 266– 270.

[16] F.M. Khellah, Texture classification using dominant neighborhood structure, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 20 (2011) 3270–3279.

[17] Jhanwar N, Chaudhuri S, Seetharaman G, Zavidovique B. Content-based image retrieval using motif co-occurrence matrix. Image Vision Computing 2004;22:1211–20.

[18] Suresh A and Vijaya Kumar V. et al., "Pattern Based Classification of Stone Textures on a Cubical Mask," International Journal of Universal Computer Sciences (Vol.1-2010/Iss.1) pp. 4-9.

[19] Suresh A., Raju U S N, and Vijaya Kumar, V. et al. (2010) An Innovative Technique of Stone Texture Classification Based on Primitive Pattern Units, Journal of Signal and Image Processing (Vol.1-2010/Iss.1 pp. 40-45.

[20]Vijaya Kumar, "Texture Classification Based on Texton Features", I.J. Image, Graphics and Signal Processing, 2012, Vol. 4 issue: 8, pages: 36-42.

AUTHOR PROFILE

Dr. P. Chandra Sekhar Reddy completed his B.Tech in Computer



Science & Engineering from Sri Krishna Devaraya University. He received the Master's Degree in M.Tech in Computer Science & Engineering from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad. He received his Ph.D. Degree in Computer Science & Engineering from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Anantapur. He is currently working as Professor in GRIET,

Hyderabad. He has more than 16 years of teaching experience. His research interests include Image Processing, Pattern Recognition, and Data Mining. He has more than 10 publications in various international journals and conferences. He is also reviewer and editorial board member for many international journals. He is the member of professional bodies like IEEE, IAENG, CSI and CSTA.