www.ijecs.in
International Journal Of Engineering And Computer Science ISSN:2319-7242
Volume 4 Issue 4 April 2015, Page No. 11174-11178

Motion Reconstruction Of 3-D Objects From 2-D Correspondences

[1.] ***Vikas kumar*** [2.] ***Lokesh Kashyap*** [3.] ***Nalin Chaudhary***
Assistant Professor (C.S.E)
Bhagwant University, Ajmer
M.Tech Scholar (C.S.E)
Bhagwant University, Ajmer
M.Tech Scholar (C.S.E)
Bhagwant University, Ajmer

Abstract-.This paper addresses the problem of recovering 3D non-rigid shape models from image sequences. For example, given a video recording of a talking person, we would like to estimate a 3D model of the lips and the full head and its internal modes of variation. Many solutions that recover 3D shape from 2D image sequences have been proposed; these so-called structure-from-motion techniques usually assume that the 3D object is rigid. Previous work has treated the two problems of recovering 3D shapes from 2D image sequences and of discovering a parameterization of non-rigid shape deformations separately. Most techniques that address the structure-from-motion problem are limited to rigid objects

Keywords: —Introduction, Image Registration, Image reconstruction

INTRODUCTION

We investigate two fundamental issues in Computer Vision: 2D motion segmentation and 3D dense shape reconstruction of a dynamic scene observed from a moving camera. The scene contains multiple rigid objects moving in a static background, while the camera undergoes general 3D rotation and translation. Our goal is to segment the video frames into 2D motion regions and static background areas, and then to reconstruct the dense 3D shape of both parts of the scene. Motion segmentation of image sequences shot by a moving camera is inherently difficult as the camera motion induces a displacement for all the image pixels. This camera motion is compensated for by a number of geometric constraints estimated between video frames. The pixels that cannot be compensated for by these constraints are classified as motion regions. A novel 3-view constraint is proposed to handle the cases where existing ones do not work well. The geometric constraints are combined in a decision tree based method for segmenting the motion regions from the background area in each video frame. After motion segmentation, sparse 3D structure of the static background and 3D camera motion are estimated by the well-developed "Structure and Motion (SaM)" methods. The same SaM methods are applied to recover the 3D shape of moving objects from a moving camera, based on their relative motion.

I. SIGNAL MODEL FOR 3D MOTION RECOVERY

Our approach follows in separating 3-D figure tracking into the two tasks of 2-D figure registration and 3-D figure reconstruction. This decomposition allows us to focus explicitly on the ambiguities that are fundamental to 3-D reconstruction, and avoid lumping them together with issues, such as appearance modeling and clutter, that arise during registration. In general, the 3-D figure reconstruction stage requires the estimation of all of the kinematic parameters of the figure, including model topology and fixed parameters like link lengths and axes of rotation. In this paper, we assume that a 3-D kinematic model with known fixed parameters is available, and focus on the simpler problem of 3-D motion recovery: Estimating the time-varying joint angles and spatial displacements that define the motion of the kinematic model. The problem of 3D motion recovery can be approached from a signal reconstruction perspective.

1. Image Registration

Image registration is the process of transforming different sets of data into one coordinate system. Data may be multiple photographs, data from different sensors, times, depths, or viewpoints. It is used in computer vision, medical imaging, biological imaging and brain mapping, military automatic target recognition, and compiling and analyzing images and data from satellites. Registration is necessary in order to be able to compare or integrate the data obtained from these different measurements.

The provision of spatial conversion on info picture I(x,y) to another picture J(x,y) where each pixel (x,y) is assessed from chose spatial change comparison: x =Fx(x,y) y=Fy(x,y) Fx & Fy are the functions which are given by the selected type of transformation. The transformation may be projective, affine, polynomial etc. Normally, one picture, called the base picture or reference image(fixed picture), is viewed as the reference to which alternate pictures, called info images(moving pictures), are compared. The objective of picture enlistment procedure is to efficiently place separate pictures in a typical casing of reference so that the data they hold might be ideally coordinated. Image Registration calculation could be characterized as per after models:

a. Transformation models:

1. Linear Transformation: It incorporates rotation, scaling, translation & other affine transform.

2. Elastic or non-rigid Transformation: Capable of generally twisting the target picture to adjust to the reference picture.

b. Spatial Vs Frequency Domain Methods:

1. Spatial Method: It work in picture area.. Match intensity patterns or features in images .

2. Frequency Method: It finds the change parameter for enrollment of picture while working in transform domain.

c. Single Vs Multi modality Method:

1. Single Modality: Single has a tendency to enroll pictures in same modality procured by same scanner.

2. Multi Modality: It has a tendency to enroll pictures gained by distinctive scanner sort.

The accuracy of registration depends heavily on the characteristics of input image & similarity between them. In this paper we have collected 4 fish embryo data set, base image with its ground truth image. Our all the registered images are compared to ground truth image. Ground truth image is a solution that is known to be correct. Therefore, by applying spatial transformation to input image a registered image is obtained.

1.1. 3D Image Reconstruction & Frame Generation

When a spatial change is secured, we can move ahead to recreate the picture. X = f(x,y) Y = g(x,y); where (x,y) is the direction of a pixel on unique picture and (X,Y) is the direction of a pixel on changed picture.

In advanced pictures, the discrete picture components, or pixels, are confined to lie on a testing network, taken to be the whole number cross section. The yield pixels, now characterized to lie on the yield inspecting matrix, are passed through the mapping capacity producing another framework used to resample the information. This new resampling matrix, not at all like the info inspecting lattice, does not for the most part correspond with the whole number cross section. Rather, the positions of the lattice focuses may undertake any of the nonstop values relegated by the mapping capacity.

Since the discrete information is characterized just at number positions, an addition stage is acquainted with fit a constant surface through the information tests. The nonstop surface may then be tested at discretionary positions. This addition stage is known as picture reproduction. The correctness of introduction has huge effect on the nature of the yield picture. Therefore, numerous insertion capacities have been examined from the perspectives of both computational productivity and estimate quality. Famous insertion capacities incorporate cubic convolution, bilinear, and nearest neighbor. They can precisely recreate second-, first-,and zero-degree polynomials, separately. More expensive and accurate methods include Biharmonic interpolation which is used for surface only. The perspective is the specific introduction that we select to show our diagram or graphical scene. The term review alludes to the procedure of showing a graphical scene from different bearings, zooming in or out, changing the viewpoint and angle proportion, flying by, etc. For the most part, survey is connected to 3-D charts or models, in spite of the fact that we may need to modify the perspective degree of 2-D perspectives to attain particular extents or make a diagram fit as a fiddle. MATLAB survey is made out of two essential territories: Positioning the perspective to situate the scene & Setting the angle degree and relative hub scaling to control the state of the articles being shown.. For 3-D , first we need to set Polaroid position, camera target and Polaroid perspective plot mode. Polaroid position sets the position of the Polaroid in the current tomahawks to the specified worth. Define the position as a three-component vector holding the x-, y-,and z-directions of the wanted area in the information units of the tomahawks. Polaroid Target details the area in the tomahawks that the Polaroid focuses to. The Camera target and the Camera position characterize the vector (the perspective hub) along which the Polaroid looks. Polaroid View angle mode is situated to auto which set Camera view angle to the base point to catch the whole scene. Camera up vector[x, y, z] tomahawks coordinates Camera revolution. This property determines the pivot of the Polaroid around the review hub characterized by the Camera target and the Camera position properties. Define Camera up vector as a three-component exhibit holding the x, y, and z segments of the vector. Camera up vector is [0 0 1] characterizes the positive z-hub as the up course. Presently evenly move the Polaroid position in front of 3-D surface and appraisal distinctive perspectives that were not shot. To catch a picture and to gather an exhibit of film casings use for loop and frame2im (f) capacity changes over the film outline F into the filled picture X and spare the edges into a specified index.

II. APPLICATIONS

The 3-D Reconstruction of Images has vast application areas which include Medical Applications like Reconstruction of 3D skull for fracture identification, Forensic labs during crime inspection, Retinal fundus defects identification, Extraction of geometric features of biological objects, Surgery ,Anatomy ,Tomography, Angiography and Imaging Applications like Face Recognition, 3D Digital Elevation Model Generation, Developing 3D movies to experience the virtual situation as if it is real, Morphological reconstruction of images, Visual effects in gaming, Holography , Stereo photography, Data acquisition

VI. CONCLUSION

The 3-D scene is recreated utilizing registered image from two static pictures of every scene. For the set of pictures that are taken from free hand a feature cuts were handled which demonstrates the picture examined from right to left. The feature cuts give a feeling of profundity in the picture. The synthesis of the picture "hung" on the evaluated profundity surface of the scene and the formation of edges by gradually seeing the profundity improved picture surface gives the eye a false recognition of profundity. By moving the Polaroid along a way by our recreated the earth, we could make short films that show up as though there were taken by a feature Polaroid moving around in the nature's turf! Throughout the reproduction of the 3d scene we experienced occurrences where twisting between reproduced casings was pervasive. This issue was created by the impediment of characteristics in one picture that were available in the second, for this situation no comparing picture focuses could be picked.

REFRENCES

[1] 2-D and 3-D Image Registration, A. Goshtasby, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, Feb. 2005.

[2] Humusoft, Praha. Matlab - Image Processing Toolbox - User's Manual, 2002.

[3] Theo Moons, A Guided Tour Through Multiview Relations, (2000) Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, ESAT/ PSI, Kardinaal Mercierlaan 94,3001 Leuven, Belgium.

[4] Hozman J. Kl__ma M., Bernas M. and Dvo_r_ak P. Zpracov_an__ obrazov_e informace. _CVUT, FEL, Praha, 1999.

[5] Jianbo Shi and Carlo Tomasi. Good Features to Track. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 593-600, 1994.

[6] Ted Shultz, Luis A. Rodriguez.: 3-D Image Reconstruction From two 2-D Images, 2003.

[7] A survey of image registration techniques, Lisa Brown, ACM Computing Surveys 8 325–1992)14 Surveys, (4):276 (1992).

[8] Tal Hassner and Ronen Basri, Example Based 3D Reconstruction from Single 2D Images, Proceedings of the 2006 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop (CVPRW’06).

[9] M.Mudrova, A.Prochazka, Image Registration in the MATLAB Environment.

[10] www.mathworks.com/products/matlab/