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#### Abstract

Data transmission in real time environment has been invoked by trustworthy persons as well as in internet media it resides on secure communication channel. The introduction of internet increases security issue twice for exchanging the information electronically. Cryptography is the process of scrambling the data into unknown format. This process is done with the help of encryption \& de cryption algorithm. The basic two ideas behind the cryptographic techniques are substitution \& transposition. The Caesar cipher substitution method is used to alter the plaintext characters with the help of automatic key. This paper presents a multi stage encryption algori thm. At the end of each stage an intermediate cipher is produced. The transposition is employed by using crossover method of genetic algorithm. Final ciphertext is derived from the combined effect of basic arithmetic \& logic operations.
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## 1. Introduction

Cryptography as the art of writing or solving codes. First, it focuses solely on the problem of secret communication. Second, the definition refers to cryptography as an art form. Constructing good code or breaking existing ones, relied on creativity and personal skill.

Modern cryptography is the scientific study of techniques for security digital information and distributed computations. It concerned with the construction of ciphers (called encryption scheme) for providing secret communication between two parties sharing some information in advance
The setting in which the communication parties share some secret information in advance is known as the private-key (or the symmetric) setting. In this setting, the same key is used to convert the plaintext into a ciphertext and back. An asymmetric encryption setting involves the sender and receivers don't share any secrets \& different keys are used [1].

### 1.1 Substitution and Transposition Ciphers

Substitution cipher is a method of encoding by which units of plaintext are replaced with ciphertext, according to a regular system; the "units" may be single letters (the most common), pairs of letters, triplets of letters, mixtures of the above, and so forth. The receiver deciphers the text by performing an inverse substitution. There are a number of different types of substitution cipher. If the cipher operates on single letters, it is termed a simple substitution cipher; a cipher that operates on larger groups of letters is termed polygraphic.

A transposition cipher is methods of encryption by which the positions held by units of plaintext (which are commonly characters or groups of characters) are shifted according to a regular system, so that the ciphertext constitutes a permutation of the plaintext [2].

### 1.2 Genetic Algorithm

The proposed algorithm uses the crossover and mutation concepts of genetic algorithm. Generally, crossover technique generates new individuals (offspring or children) from the two given parents (two individuals). Mutation operation randomly changes characters in the offspring produced from the crossover technique [3].

## 2. Related Work

### 2.1 B ackground Study

Several encryption algorithms have been made in the field of cryptography. To review this history, most of the encryption algorithm uses either the concept of an automatic key generation algorithm or combination of substitution and transposition methods.
S.G.Srikantasamy and H.D.Phaneedra in the year 2011 [4] presented an encryption algorithm based on the combination of arith metic and logic operations. A private key is produced from the plaintext message itself. The Caesar or Shift cipher is the traditional or simple substitution cipher in the cryptography. To improve the Caesar cipher model, a modified approach to the Caesar cipher is utilized in the year 2012 by the same authors [5].
An automatic key generation concept is introduced in the year 2013 by B.Bazith Mohammed [6] to the Caesar cipher. It takes a single round for the encryption/decryption process.
Various ciphers are available to encrypt the plaintext characters. Apart from the Caesar cipher, one time pad cipher is used with the arithmetic and logic operations. S.G.Srikantasamy and H.D.Phaneedra proves the flexible key generation algorithm helps to improve the network security is sues of plainte xt messages [7].
Govind Prasad Arya and his team presents a new concept of using all techniques combined together into one encryption
algorithm. But the algorithm has take only 26 values of alphabets for the plaintext messages [8]. The key value should be increased up to 254 ASCII values by Devendra Prasad \& his team [9].

### 2.2 Existing SDES Key Generation Algorithm

First, permute the key in the following fashion. Let the 10 -bit key be designated as (k1, k2, k3, k4, k5, k6, k7, k8, k9, k10). Then the permutation P10 is defined as:
P10 (k1, k2, k3, k4, k5, k6, k7, k8, k9, k10) $=(k 3, k 5, k 2, k 7$, k4, k10, k1, k9, k8, k6)
P10 can be concisely defined by: P10 (k3, k5, k2, k7, k4, k10, k1, k9, k8, k6)
This P10 is read from left to right; each position in the P10 gives the identity of the input bit that produces the output bit in that position. So the first output bit is bit 3 of the input; the second output bit is bit 5 of the input, and so on.
For example, the key $(1010000010)$ is permuted to (1000001100).

Next, perform a circu lar left shift (LS-1), or rotation, separately on the first five bits and the second five bits.
In our example, the result is (00001 11000).
Next we apply P8, which picks out and permutes 8 of the 10 bits according to the following rule:
P8 (6, 3, 7, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9)
The result is subkey1 (K1). In our example, this yield (10100100) we then go back to the pair of 5 -bit strings produced by the two LS-1 function and perform a circular left shift of 2 bit positions on each string.
In our example, the value (00001 11000) becomes (00100 00011).

Finally, P8 is applied again to produce K2.
In our example, the result is $(01000011)$.
$\mathrm{K} 1=10100100$; K $2=01000011$ [10].
$\mathrm{K} 1=164, \mathrm{~K} 2=67$

## 3. Encryption and Decryption Algorithm

### 3.1 Encryption Algorithm

The key deduced from SDES key generation algorith $m$ have a couple of key values i.e. $\mathrm{k} 1=164 \& \mathrm{k} 2=67$. To find the best one, chosen is made by pick out the smallest key value among them. Table 1[5] lists the frequently used characters \& its values for message and key values. The reason for taking the smallest key value is determined from the Caesar cipher method. The fact that has been defined in Caesar cipher is the key value must be small when compared to the message length.

- An automatic key is devised through the existing SDES key generation algorithm. In the first stage, Caesar cipher substitution is performed with the help of private key produces an intermediate cipher 1.
- Takes the crossover of characters on the resultant intermediate cipher1. To transpose the character's position, mutation process is involved. An intermediate cipher2 is produced at the end of second stage.
- The final stage encompasses all basic arithmetic and logic operations yields final ciphertext. The ciphertext generated from the encryption algorithm is in disguised format because of employing the multi stage processes.

| Character | Value | Character | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! | 0 | V | 53 |
| " | 1 | W | 54 |
| \# | 2 | X | 55 |
| \$ | 3 | Y | 56 |
| \% | 4 | Z | 57 |
| \& | 5 | [ | 58 |
| ' | 6 | \} | 59 |
| ( | 7 | ] | 60 |
| ) | 8 | $\wedge$ | 61 |
| * | 9 | - | 62 |
| + | 10 |  | 63 |
| , | 11 | a | 64 |
| - | 12 | b | 65 |
| . | 13 | c | 66 |
| / | 14 | d | 67 |
| 0 | 15 | e | 68 |
| 1 | 16 | f | 69 |
| 2 | 17 | g | 70 |
| 3 | 18 | h | 71 |
| 4 | 19 | i | 72 |
| 5 | 20 | j | 73 |
| 6 | 21 | k | 74 |
| 7 | 22 | 1 | 75 |
| 8 | 23 | m | 76 |
| 9 | 24 | n | 77 |
| : | 25 | 0 | 78 |
| ; | 26 | p | 79 |
| < | 27 | q | 80 |
| = | 28 | r | 81 |
| > | 29 | S | 82 |
| ? | 30 | t | 83 |
| @ | 31 | V | 85 |
| A | 32 | W | 86 |
| B | 33 | X | 87 |
| C | 34 | y | 88 |
| D | 35 | Z | 89 |
| E | 36 | \{ | 90 |
| F | 37 | \| | 91 |
| G | 38 | \} | 92 |
| H | 39 | ~ | 93 |
| I | 40 |  |  |
| J | 41 |  |  |
| K | 42 |  |  |
| L | 43 |  |  |
| M | 44 |  |  |
| N | 45 |  |  |
| O | 46 |  |  |
| P | 47 |  |  |
| Q | 48 |  |  |
| R | 49 |  |  |
| S | 50 |  |  |
| T | 51 |  |  |
| U | 52 |  |  |

### 3.2 Example for Encryption Algorithm

### 3.2.1 Round 1:

Plaintext $=$ Encipher, Key=67 (Private key)

Table 1: Frequently used Characters and its Values
Table 2: Substitution Method

| Original <br> Text | Numeric <br> Values of <br> English <br> Alphabet | $C=(P+k)$ <br> mod 94 | Corresponding <br> English Alphabet of <br> $C$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E | 36 | 9 | $*$ |
| n | 77 | 50 | S |
| c | 66 | 39 | H |
| i | 72 | 45 | N |
| p | 79 | 52 | U |
| h | 71 | 44 | M |
| e | 68 | 51 | J |
| r | 81 | 54 | W |

At the end of round 1，Intermediate cipher 1 is＊SHNUMJW

## 3．2．2 Round 2：



Crossover
St1 $=$＊MHW
St2 $=$ USJN
St＝st1＋st2
St＝＊MHWUSJN
Mutation
$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{inv}$（st）
M＝NJSUWHM＊
At the end of round 2，Intermediate cipher 2 is NJSUWHM＊

## 3．2．3 Round 3：

Table 3：Combination of Arith metic and Logic Operations

| Intermediate <br> cipher 2 | Numerical <br> Value | Binary <br> Equivalent | Left Shift by <br> 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | 45 | 00101101 | 10110100 |
| J | 41 | 00101001 | 10100100 |
| S | 50 | 00110010 | 11001000 |
| U | 52 | 00110100 | 11010000 |
| W | 54 | 00110110 | 11011000 |
| H | 39 | 00100111 | 10011100 |
| M | 44 | 00101100 | 10110000 |
| $*$ | 9 | 00001001 | 00100100 |

Table 4：Process 2

| Complement | Decimal <br> Equivalent | ASCII <br> Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 01001011 | 75 | K |
| 01011011 | 91 | $[$ |
| 00110111 | 55 | 7 |
| 00101111 | 47 | I |
| 00100111 | 39 | l |
| 01100011 | 99 | C |
| 01001111 | 79 | O |
| 11011011 | 219 | 覑 |

At the end of round 3，Final ciphertext is K［7／$/ \mathrm{cO} \mathrm{C}$ 墹

## 3．3 Decryption Algorithm

Decryption algorithm is just the reverse process of an encryption algorithm．
－Take the ciphertext as the input and perform all basic arithmetic and logic operations to it．It produces the intermediate plaintext 1 ．
－Apply the crossover process to the intermediate plaintext 1 followed by the mutation technique which gives the intermediate plainte xt2．
－Finally，reverse Caesar cipher substitution is involved using private key as declared in the encryption algorith m．

## 3．4 Example for Decryption Algorithm

## 3．4．1 Round 1：

Cipherte $\mathrm{xt}=\mathrm{K}[7 / \mathrm{cO} \quad$ 闁 $\mathrm{k} e \mathrm{y}=67$（Private key）
Table 5：Combination of Arithmetic and Logic Operations

| Cipher <br> Text | ASCII <br> Value in <br> Decimal | Binary <br> Equivalent | Right <br> shift by 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | 75 | 01001011 | 11010010 |
| $[$ | 91 | 01011011 | 11010110 |
| 7 | 55 | 00110111 | 11001101 |
| I | 47 | 00101111 | 11001011 |
| I | 39 | 00100111 | 11001001 |
| C | 99 | 01100011 | 11011000 |
| O | 79 | 01001111 | 11010011 |
| 限 | 219 | 11011011 | 11110110 |

Table 6：Process 2

| Complement | Decimal <br> Equivalent | Intermediate <br> plaintext 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 00101101 | 45 | N |
| 00101001 | 41 | J |
| 00110010 | 50 | S |
| 00110100 | 52 | U |
| 00110110 | 54 | W |
| 00100111 | 39 | H |
| 00101100 | 44 | M |
| 00001001 | 9 | $*$ |

At the end of round 1，Intermediate plaintext 1 is NJSUWHM＊

## 3．4．2 <br> Round 2：

## Mutation

$\mathrm{M}=$ inv（intermediate plaintext 1 ）
M＝＊MHWUSJN
Crossover


St1＝＊SHN
St2 $=$ UMJW
$\mathrm{St}=\mathrm{St} 1+\mathrm{St} 2$
$\mathrm{St}=$＊SHNUMJW
At the end of round 2，Intermediate plaintext 2 is＊SHNUMJW

## 3．4．3 Round 3：

Table 7：Reverse Substitution Method

| Intermediate <br> plaintext 2 | Numerical <br> Value | $C=(P-k)$ <br> mod 94 | Original <br> plaintext |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $*$ | 36 | 9 | E |
| S | 77 | 50 | n |
| H | 66 | 39 | c |
| N | 72 | 45 | i |
| U | 79 | 52 | p |
| M | 71 | 44 | h |
| J | 68 | 51 | e |
| W | 81 | 54 | r |

At the end of round 3, Original plaintext is retrieved Original plaintext $=$ Encipher.

### 3.5 Merits of the Proposed Algorithm

- Suitable for maximum frequently used characters and numerical values.
- There are 94! attempts are possible for the cryptanalytic attack.
- High processing speed and low process delay.
- Combination of techniques and genetic programming concepts makes the cryptanalysis difficult.
- Brute force attack is certainly feasible for the proposed automatic key.


## 4. Experime ntal Result

### 4.1 Comparisons with Existing Algorithms

The following table shows relationship between existing symmetric cryptographic algorith ms and proposed encryption algorithm. The proposed algorithm consists of variable input size and key sizes as well as $2^{256}$ alternate keys are possible for the different input size. The encryption/decryption process defined in this paper is more secure against threats and hackers.

Table 8: Comparison of Various Symmetric Cryptographic Algorith ms

| Algorithm | Key <br> Size(bits) | Input <br> Size(bits) | Number of <br> Alternate <br> Keys |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DES | 56 | 64 | 256 |
| AES | 128 | 128 | $2^{128}$ |
| Triple DES | 168 | 128 | $2^{108}$ |
| Blowfish | Variable | Variable | $2^{250}$ |
| RC5 | Variable | Variable | $2^{250}$ |
| RC4 | Variable | Variable | $2^{250}$ |
| Proposed <br> Algorithm | Variable | Variable | $2^{256}$ |

### 4.2 Simulation Analysis

For the propose work, Intel Core i3-3120M of CPU speed 2GB RAM is used. In this experiment the input sizes range from 110 bits to 175 bits against time in minutes in first chart. The performance metrics are analy zed by the Encryption and decryption time.

The calculation and analysis purpose for the proposed algorithm, customized computer application program is developed in C\#.NET platform and after execution for analysis purpose the data is shown in MS Excel from there we can direct create graphs for visual analysis.


Figure 1: Execution Time for Encryption/Decryption Process

## 5. Conclusion

The effort of the proposed algorithm is to make the cryptanalysis difficult and algorithm stronger. In the previous encryption algorithm, key value is generated from the message itself. But this paper presents a multi stage encryption algorithm which is efficient for 94 character values. Using an automatic key value for encrypting characters of plaintext hides the relationship between the ciphertext \& plaintext. An intermediate cipher resulted from each stage is considered to be an input of the next stage. So the final ciphertext is in tedious format to analyze. This algorithm is applied to maximum of length for the message and key values. Whenever the key is long, the encipherment is must strong against the intruders. In the future work, this algorithm will be extended to asymmetric key cryptographic system with random key generation technique.
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