

## Improving Rating Prediction Accuracy by Exploring Social User Sentiments

<sup>1</sup>T. Grace Winsolin, <sup>2</sup>R.Kowsalya, <sup>3</sup>M. Santhakumari, <sup>4</sup>S. Sowndarya,  
<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, IT Department, Jeppiaar Institute Technology,  
<sup>2,3,4</sup>Department of IT, Jeppiaar Institute Technology,  
[gracewinsolint@jeppiaarinstitute.org](mailto:gracewinsolint@jeppiaarinstitute.org).

### ABSTRACT:

Now a day the boom of social media is very popular to share their viewpoints to their friends is very easy by various social networking platforms. In this paper, we face information overloading problem. We mine the information from reviews what the user understood. Then user's preferences and an accurate recommendation is done. It consists of some important factors purchasing records by the user, category of product and their location. We propose a sentiment based rating prediction. It improves the prediction accuracy in the recommender systems. At the first we propose a social user measurement approach sentimentally and then it calculates each user's sentiments based on the products. At the second it not only views a user's own sentiment attribute will consider the interpersonal sentiment influence. Then, it also considers product reputation sentimental distribution of a user can infer it reflects customer's evaluation. Here we combine all the three factors where user sentiment that is similar, interpersonal sentimental influence and reputation of items it considers all into the recommender system and make accuracy in rating prediction. It makes compulsory for the user to pose their viewpoints as reviews before buying another product to know the quality of the product. It also considers the performance evaluation for all the three factors in the real word. As the result, it helps to improve the recommendation performance.

**Key terms-** User sentimental reviews, Accuracy, Item reputation, Compulsory review.

### INTRODUCTION:

In our daily life, customers are most likely to buy online products with highly-praised reviews. Item reputation is one of the important factor which reflects customer's comprehensive evaluation based on the intrinsic value of the specific product. Sentiment reviews are needed to obtain the reputation of the product. If the item's review reflects the positive review, then the item will be with the good reputation. Oppositely, the item is to be with the bad reputation, if the item's reviews is full of negative reviews. We must infer the reputation and comprehensive rating to know

about the user sentiment for an item. Per the customer's perspective both positive and negative reviews are required as a reference. For a positive review, we will know about the advantages of the product and for a negative review we will obtain the shortcomings in the case of being cheated. So, it is worth to explore those reviewers who have obvious and objective attitude on items. The other customers will get influenced by those reviewers if a reviewer has clear like and dislike sentiment so that the other users will pay much attention to his/him considerations. However, user's sentiment is hard to predict and unpredictability of interpersonal sentimental influence makes great difficulty in exploring social users [11]. Moreover, most of the user support only exact keyword search which greatly affects data usability and

user experience. To address these problems, in our work we will propose a sentimental-based rating prediction method in the framework of matrix factorization so that we will use the social user's sentiment to infer ratings [12]. To realize accurate recommendation in e-commerce, the proposed method estimates each user for target items. So, we will define the rating as "degree of each item preference by a user". For estimating target user preference for each item of past ratings of the other items. There are few features that defines the user sentiments. First, we extract the product's features from user reviews. By analysing the product features, we have to find out the sentimental words. To calculate the sentiment of a specific user on a item or product, we will leverage the sentimental dictionaries [13]. Multi-keyword ranked search scheme is used to support dynamic update operations. For collecting trusted reviews, we must combine social friend circle with sentiment to recommend. Based on the user reviews and sentimental dictionaries the last item will be recommended. When comparing previous work, we using the instructed information instead of other structured social factors. The main difference is that our work mainly focuses on classifying users into binary sentiment (positive or negative) and they do not go further in mining user's sentiments. We are not only focus on user's sentiment, but also the interpersonal sentimental influence and item's reputation. Finally, we take all of them into the recommender system.

## RELATED WORKS:

### 1. COLLABORATIVE FILTERING AND RECOMMENDER SYSTEM:

Recommender system are now important part of the information and e-commerce system. Collaborative filtering helps to examine user preferences on the unrated items. After analysing that items can be recommended to the user. There are many types of collaborative filtering one of the best known is user based CF algorithm [1].

**1.a) USER BASED CF:** In user, based CF it partitions the user in to groups [2]. User will be divided based on use rid and then calculate the recommendation process for each use. Recommendation process will be encapsulated in the map function. So that it solves the scalability problem. The basic idea is that people who prefer for the similar items in past it will help to prefer to buy the same items in the future. With the increasing popularity of collaborative tagging systems [3]. The tags should be interested and it should have the useful information. The generic is that allows the tags to incorporated to standard CF algorithms and they are fused by three-dimensional correlations between users, items and tags.

**1.b) ITEM BASED CF:** Item based CF will produce the high-quality recommendation. It provides many recommendations per second based on the users and items and achieves high coverage [4]. In item based it first examine user-item matrix to identify their relationships items and their use then it indirectly recommends. The rating by the user will be based on the similar or different items by the same user. Finally, the results will be evaluated and then it will be compared with K-nearest neighbour approach. Item based system will provide better performance then user based collaborative approach and it will provide better quality.

## 2. MATRIX FACTORIZATION APPROACHES:

**2.a) BASIC MATRIX FACTORIZATION:** Here the most popular approach for low dimensional matrix decomposition is probabilistic matrix factorization. The basic PMF [5]. Rating matrix  $R \in R^{m \times n}$  Here m is the number of users and n is the number of items. Then it will be predicted as  $Ru, i = \bar{R} + UuPiT$ . Here  $Ru, i$  denotes predicted objective star level of item I and  $\bar{R}$  it denotes the average value of all ratings. PMF model with takes place with the number of observations and it will perform well

on large datasets. It also extends the adaptive prior and can be automatically controlled.

**2.b) SOCIAL RECOMMENDATION:** Social recommendations will solve cold start problem which automatically modifies the data. To explore the matrix factorization in social recommender system it need trust relations. To achieve yang et al. [6] proposed “Trust circles “ in social systems. The trust value between the user will be  $S$ , relationship of user  $u$  and user  $v$  is represented as  $Su, v$ . Then it was proposed to use the social similarity and content similarity to update the user-content matrix [7]. Some users will not have the social relations and they will not be interested in it. It will be difficult for them to provide the better predictions. So, that here we have maintained the sentiment analysis.

### **3.REVIEWS BASED WORK FOR RECOMMENDATION:**

There are many reviews based for the recommendation. Here there way a proposal bag-of-opinions it will used to identify important expressions by root word, set of modifier words from the same line and the negative words. Where they will be assigned with the numeric score [8]. Then it started with social rating predictions by social network and reviewer. It takes place with both the strong and the normal social user. Then due to time there was raking score for the product reviews it mines all the reviews given by the customer for their product and then it is easy for seeing the product quality based upon the reviews it leads to time consuming [9]. And then the unified model takes place in combination with context based and the information for both rating and reviews. Luo et al. [9] solve the unrated reviews problems. Here it first generates the sentiments from training the reviews with the overall ratings. Then inference of identification and rating for unrated reviews will be generated. It proposes a LDA-style topic model generates rateable aspects over sentiments and modifies with the ratings.

### **4.SENTIMENT ANALYSIS BASED APPLICATIONS:**

There are three types of levels in sentiment analysis review-level, sentence-level, phrase-level, review-level analysis.

**4.a) SENTENCE-LEVEL ANALYSIS:** Here it is based on the predefined sentimental analysis. It classifies the sentiment as a review. It includes positive, negative and neutral.

**4.b) PHARSE-LEVEL ANALYSIS:** It extracts the sentiment of each user expresses attitude to the specific product. Here in sentiment analysis is the construction of sentimental lexicon. They cannot deal with the mismatch between the base valence of term and author’s usage in it. A lexical item is changed by lexical and discourse context and it propose a implementation for contextual shifters. Then they calculate the user sentiment based on fine grained method.

Then it proposed with sentiment orientation calculator which it uses the dictionaries of words and their semantic orientation and it incorporates intensification and negation. Then a optimized framework which provides the unified way to combine many and different sources of information for learning a context-based sentiment lexicon. Which analyse user opinions about entity in a review at levels. It discovers each individual reviewer’s latent opinion on each aspect when forming the overall judgement of entity.

### **THE PROPOSED SYSTEM:**

We propose our approach to find product quality from the user reviews and predict ratings. Here in this paper extraction the product details from the user reviews and then sentiments will be found. Explain about all the three sentimental factors all combine them to the rating prediction method.

#### **A. PRODUCT FEATURES USING LDA:**

The product features from textual reviews done using LDA. Latent Dirichletian

allocation (LDA) we include named entities and product attributes. LDA is a Bayesian model, it is generative probabilistic model.

LDA follows generative process for each document

1. Choosing  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\xi)$ .
2. Choosing  $\theta \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha)$ .
3. For each of the  $N$  words  $w(n)$ .
  - (a) Choose a topic  $z(n) \sim \text{Multinomial}(\theta)$ .

(b) Choose a word  $w(n)$  from  $p(w(n) | z(n), \beta)$ , a multinomial probability conditioned on the topic  $z(n)$ .

## 1. DATA PREPROCESSING:

We extract each user review by collecting some words it will not consider order. And then filtering process takes place. It collects positive words, negative words and sentiment degree of words. It considers prepositions, article, and pronoun etc. After filtering the words will be clear without generating some topics. All the unique words are involved in vocabulary.

## 2. GENERATING THE PROCESS:

It considers all users document sets  $D$  and the number of topics represented as  $m$ . And the output will be each user and the topic list. It consists of some steps

1. For each document  $d_j$ , chooses a random variable  $\theta_m \sim \text{Dirichletian}(a)$ .
2. For each and every topic  $z_k$ , where  $[1, \Gamma]$ , we choose  $\phi_k \sim \text{Dirichletian}(b)$ . For each topic  $z_k$ , inference scheme upon the observation that:

$$P(\theta, \Phi | D_{train}, \alpha, b) = \int P(\theta, \Phi | z, D_{train}, \alpha, b) P(z, | D_{train}, \alpha, b)$$

We obtain posterior on  $\theta$  and  $\Phi$  by using Gibbs sampler computing sum over  $z$ .

3. Repeating the process above and eventually we get the output of LDA.

From the above it involves the table1, we have given a sample of topics in the table and described about the product features. From table1 we can see users in each topic care about the different features and reveals the different type of product features.

**TABLE 1**

Topics	Example: Product features
Topic1	discount, worth, online, pay, prices, sell, card, cash.
Topic2	manager, servers, people, review, waiter, customer.
Topic3	suit, food, feeling, kind, environment.
Topic4	seat, time, hours, waiting, order, turn, phone, minutes.
Topic5	sauce, jellyfish, scallop, dishes, lobster.

## B. USER SENTIMENTAL MEASUREMENT:

We calculate by How Net Sentiment Dictionary user's sentiments on item. List of words are collected and they are named as POS-words and NEG-words. There are five levels in Sentiment Degree Dictionary (SDD). Level 1 which includes "most" and "best". Level 2 which includes "better" and "very". Level 3 which includes "more" and "such". Level 4 which includes "little" and "more or less". Level 5 which includes "less" and "not very". Negation dictionary by collecting the prefix words "no", "hardly", "never" etc. The words and size will be discussed in the table2.

## C. THREE SENTIMENTAL FACTORS:

There are three sentimental factors that need to be considered user sentiment similarity, interpersonal sentimental influence, and item reputation similarity. Generally, our friends are trustworthy which help of their recommendation product will

be known. Which the help of product features it makes some suggestions according to it new user can but the product. After buying the product it made compulsory that user must review for the product to know the best product. Users can't buy another product before giving the review for already purchased project, Reviews will be shared in social.

**TABLE 2**

<b>Dictionaries</b>	<b>Representative words</b>
<b>SD(6545)</b>	<b>POS-Words:</b> fresh, clean, nice, comply, ok, delicate, delicious... <b>NEG-Words:</b> bad, sucks, dirty, complain, awful, boring, annoyed,
<b>ND(67)</b>	nor, not, no, can't, couldn't, hardly, haven't, none, neither, few, doesn't, didn't.
<b>SDD(85)</b>	Level 1: best, entirely, superb, 100%, highest, sharply. Level 2: very, better, lot, greatly, over. Level 3: far, so, even, more, rather, relatively. Level 4: somewhat, some, a little, a bit, slight. Level 5: less, insufficiently, passably, less, very little bit.

## EXPERIMENTS:

Based on user sentiments we conduct a series of experiments to evaluate the performance of our rating prediction model. For this, we have crawled nearly 60 thousand user's circles of friends and their related items. In order to organize experiments we have subsistent social

relationships and reviews.[14] To solve the cold start and sparsity problem of datasets, we need new factors of social network interpersonal influence and interest based on circles of friends bring opportunities and challenges for recommender system(RS). There are three social factors, personal interest, interpersonal interest similarity and interpersonal influence, fuse into a unified personalized recommendation model based on probabilistic matrix factorization. For achieving in rating predictions in various conditions, we firstly evaluate our sentimental algorithm and then investigate how to leverage review sentiments.

### A. Sentiment Evaluation:

The task of phrase-level sentimental lexicon constructions is inheritably difficult. We need to trade-off between precision and recall. As a primary step towards using sentiment lexicon for RPS, we will only use the top-10 product features in our framework, primarily to avoid the negative effects of wrong features as much as possible. To improve the performance to evaluate the sentiment by transforming each sentimental value  $E_{u,i}$  into a binary value, namely,  $E_{u,i} > 0$ , a review will be regarded as negative.  $E_{u,i} \leq 0$ , a review will be regarded as negative. When we testing in a labelled positive dataset,  $E_{u,i} \leq 0$ , this case is misclassification and for a negative dataset  $E_{u,i} > 0$  is also a misclassification. Firstly, we label five-star Yelp reviews as positive reviews and label all one-star Yelp reviews as negative reviews. Totally we have 57193 positive reviews and 9799 negative reviews. The table will show the statistics and evaluation results of our sentimental algorithm. In the table, we can see that the average precision on Yelp dataset is 87.1%. the precision on Yelp negative corpus is 60.16%. To evaluate better performance, both of the two public datasets have the same number of labaled positive reviews and labelled negative reviews, the average precision is 72.7% and 73.5%.

### B. Rating Prediction:

**1.Evaluation Matrix:** In each section of the dataset, we use 80% of data as the training set and the remaining 20% as the test sets.

**2.Comparative Algorithm:** Based on user sentiments we conduct a series of experiments to compare our rating prediction model with the existing model.

a. Basic MF: Without any considerations of social factors, baseline matrix factorization is used.

b. Circle Cone: It focuses on interpersonal trust in the social networks and infers the trust circles based on matrix factorization.

c. Context MF: To improve the accuracy of traditional item-based CF in [22] and Sore [53] will be used.

d. PRM: It considers three social factors such as personal interest, interpersonal interest similarity and interpersonal influence also used to predict user's ratings based on matrix factorization.

e. EFM: In [14], it builds two characteristic matrixes: user-feature attention matrix and item-featured quality matrix. In user-featured matrix measures what an extent a user cares about the corresponding product feature and the other one will measure the quality of an item for the corresponding product feature.

f. RPS: Compared with above mentioned models, we are using two linguistic rules to calculate user sentiments and have built sentimental dictionaries to propose a scalable sentimental application.

**3. Performance Comparison:**when comparing the performance of our methods with existing models, there will be objective function of RPS,  $k$  is the dimension of user and item latent feature vectors. To prevent over fitting, we can use  $\lambda$  as a coefficient and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ . We extract different features in matrix factorization framework for implementing the corporative methods and building the corresponding feature matrixes in EFM. Over the baseline models, the percentage of

the numbers in each cell are the relative improvements of RPS. We decrease RMSE by 26.92%,20.75% and 10.67%, similarly we decrease MAE by 24.31%, 18.21%. The high accuracy will have obtained by experimental results.

### C. Discussion:

After the performance comparison, we discuss other five important factors such as the impact of user sentiments similarity, the impact of interpersonal sentiment influence, the impact of user's friend's sentimental variance, the impact of item reputation similarity and the impacts of factors combination in all comparative models.

1.The impact of user sentiments similarity: In this section, we set  $\beta=0$ ,  $\gamma=0$  and let  $\alpha$  ranges from 0 to 60. To optimize he user latent feature vector, user sentiment similarity factor can be used.

2.The impact of interpersonal sentimental influence: In this section, we have to set  $\alpha=0$ ,  $\gamma=0$  and  $\beta$  ranges from 0 to 200.

3.The impact of user friend's sentiment variance: Our model mainly captures the sentimental influence of the testing users with clear like and dislike sentiments. However, our model may be appropriate for users whose friends have clear like and dislike sentiment.

4.The impact of item reputation similarity: In this section, we set  $\alpha=0$ ,  $\beta=0$  and  $\gamma$  ranges from 0 to 2000.RMSE drops when  $\gamma$  ranges from 0 to 1000. The item reputation similarity can improve the performance of rating prediction based on the suggestions of results.

5. The impact of factors combinations in all comparative models: Here, we set  $\alpha=5$ ,  $\gamma=\beta=0$  for the factors of user sentiment similarity. We set the same parameters for different impacts which is defined by the comparative models. Multi factor combination of our model is better than other approaches such as context-MF, PRM and EFM.

**CONCLUSION:**

In this paper a recommendation is done using mining from social user's reviews. We combine all the three factors user sentiment similarity, interpersonal sentiment influence and items reputation into matrix factorization this achieves rating prediction task. New relationship is also maintained by interpersonal sentimental influence between user and their friends. It shows improvement in the existing approach by feting more reviews by compulsory method and we look into the good quality of product.

**REFERENCES:**

- [1]. P. Resnick, N. Iacovou, M. Suchak, P. Bergstrom, J. Riedl, "Group-Lens: an open architecture for collaborative filtering of net news," in *Proc. CSCW 1994*, pp. 175–186.
- [2]. G. Zhao, X. Qian, X. Xie, "User-service rating prediction by exploring social users' rating behaviours," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 2016, 18(3):496-506.
- [3] K.H. L. Tso-Sutter, L. B. Marinho, L. Schmidt-Thieme, "Tag-aware recommender systems by fusion of collaborative filtering algorithms," in *Proceedings of the 2008 ACM symposium on Applied computing*, 2008, pp. 1995-1999.
- [4] B. Sarwar, G. Karypis, J. Konstan, and J. Reidl, "Item-based collaborative filtering recommendation algorithms," in *Proc.10th International Conference on World Wide Web*, 2001, pp. 285-295.
- [5] R. Salakhutdinov, and A. Mnih, "Probabilistic matrix factorization," in *NIPS*, 2008.
- [6] X. Yang, H. Steck, and Y. Liu, "Circle-based recommendation in online social networks," in *Proc. 18th ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. KDD*, New York, NY, USA, Aug. 2012, pp. 1267–1275.
- [7] Z. Wang, L. Sun, W. Zhu, S. Yang, H. Li, and D. Wu, "Joint social and content recommendation for user-generated videos in online social network," *IEEE Trans. Multimedia*, vol. 15, no. 3, 2013.
- [8] L. Qu, G. Ifrim, G. Weikum, "The bag-of-opinions method for review rating prediction from sparse text patterns," in *Proc. 23rd International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, 2010, pp. 913–921.
- [9] K. Zhang, Y. Cheng, W. Liao, A. Choudhary, "Mining millions of reviews: a technique to rank products based on importance of reviews," in *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Electronic Commerce*, Aug. 2011, pp. 1-8.
- [10] T. Nakagawa, K. Inui, and S. Kurohashi, "Dependency tree-based sentiment classification using CRFs with Hidden Variables," *NAACL*, 2010, pp.786-794.
- [11] Z. Fu, K. Ren, J. Shu, et al., "Enabling Personalized Search over Encrypted Outsourced Data with Efficiency Improvement," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel & Distributed Systems*, 2015:1-1.
- [12] Z. Xia, X. Wang, X. Sun, and Q. Wang, "A Secure and Dynamic Multi-keyword Ranked Search Scheme over Encrypted Cloud Data," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 27, no. 2, 2015, pp. 340-352.
- [13] T. Kawashima, T. Ogawa, M. Haseyama, "A rating prediction method for e-commerce application using ordinal regression based on LDA with multi-modal features," *IEEE 2nd Global Conference on Consumer Electronics (GCCE)*. 2013, pp. 260-261.
- [14] X. Qian, H. Feng, G. Zhao, and T. Mei, "Personalized recommendation combining user interest and social circle," *IEEE Trans. Knowledge and data engineering*. 2014, pp. 1763-1777.