

## Common Fixed Point Theorem for Compatible Maps of Type ( $\beta$ )

*Dr.M.RamanaReddy,*

Assistant Professor of Mathematics

Sreenidhi Institute of Science and technology,Hyderabad

**Abstract:** *In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for compatible map of type ( $\beta$ ) in Fuzzy 2-metric space.*

**Keywords:** *Fuzzy 2- metric space, G- Cauchy sequence, Weakly compatible, point of coincidence, complete metric space*

### I. Introduction

In 1998, Vasuki [1] established a generalization of Grabiec's fuzzy contraction theorem wherein he proved a common fixed point theorem for a sequence of mappings in a fuzzy metric space. Thereafter, Cho [2] extended the concept of compatible mappings of type ( $\alpha$ ) to fuzzy metric spaces and utilize the same to prove fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. Several years later, Singh and Chauhan [3] introduced the concept of compatible mappings and proved two common fixed point theorems in the fuzzy metric space with the minimum triangular norm. In 2002, Sharma [4] further extended some known results of fixed point theory for compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. At the same time, Gregori and Sapena [5] introduced the notion of fuzzy contractive mapping and proved fixed point theorems in varied classes of complete fuzzy metric spaces in the senses of George and Veeramani [6], Kramosil and Michalek [7] and Grabiec's [8]. Soon after, Mihet [9] proposed a fuzzy fixed point theorem for (weak) Banach contraction in M-complete fuzzy metric spaces. In this continuation, Mihet [10, 11] further enriched the fixed point theory for various contraction mappings in fuzzy metric spaces besides introducing variants of some new contraction mappings such as: Edelstein fuzzy contractive mappings, fuzzy  $\psi$ -contraction of  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$  type etc. In the same spirit, Qiu et al. [12, 13] also obtained some common fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings under suitable conditions

Our objective of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem by removing the assumption of continuity, relaxing compatibility to compatible maps of type( $\beta$ ) weakly compatibility and replacing the completeness of the space with a set of alternative conditions for functions satisfying an implicit relation in FM-space.

## II.Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1:** Let  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $*$  be a continuous t-norm and  $F$  be the set of all real continuous functions  $F : I^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions

**2.1**  $F$  is no increasing in the fifth and sixth variables,

**2.2** if, for some constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\mathbf{2.2(a)} \quad F \left( u(kt), v(t), v(t), u(t), 1, u\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) * v\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right) \geq 1, \text{ or}$$

$$\mathbf{2.2(b)} \quad F \left( u(kt), v(t), u(t), v(t), u\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) * v\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), 1 \right) \geq 1$$

for any fixed  $t > 0$  and any nondecreasing functions  $u, v : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$  with  $0 \leq u(t), v(t) \leq 1$  then there exists  $h \in (0, 1)$  with  $u(ht) \geq v(t) * u(t)$ ,

**2.3** if, for some constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$F(u(kt), u(t), 1, 1, u(t), u(t)) \geq 1$$

for any fixed  $t > 0$  and any nondecreasing function  $u : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$  then  $u(kt) \geq u(t)$ .

Beside this the concepts of Fuzzy 2-metric spaces are as follows,

**Definition 2.2:** A triplet  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be a Fuzzy 2- metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous t - norm and  $M$  is a fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  satisfying the following condition for all  $x, y, z, s, t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{2.2 (FM - 1)} \quad M(x, y, \theta, t) > 0$$

$$\mathbf{2.2 (FM - 2)} \quad M(x, y, \theta, t) = 1 \text{ if and only if } x = y = \theta.$$

$$\mathbf{2.2 (FM - 3)} \quad M(x, y, \theta, t) = M(y, \theta, x, t) = M(\theta, x, y, t)$$

$$\mathbf{2.2 (FM - 4)}$$

$$M(x, y, \theta, t) * M(y, z, \theta, s) * M(z, x, \theta, q) \leq M(x, y, z, t + s + q)$$

2.2 (FM – 5)  $M(x, y, \theta, \bullet) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is continuous.

Then  $M$  is called a Fuzzy 2- metric on  $X$ . The function  $M(x, y, \theta, t)$  denote the degree of nearness between  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $\theta$  with respect to  $t$ .

**Definition 2.3:** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a Fuzzy 2- metric space  $(X, M, \star)$  is said to be a converges to  $x$  iff for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $t > 0$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_n, x, \theta, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

**Definition 2.4:** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a Fuzzy 2- metric space  $(X, M, \star)$  is said to be a G- Cauchy sequence converges to  $x$  iff for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $t > 0$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_m, x_n, \theta, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq n_0$ .

A Fuzzy 2- metric space  $(X, M, \star)$  is said to be complete if every G- Cauchy sequence in it converges to a point in it.

### III. Main Result

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, M, \star)$  be a complete Fuzzy 2- metric space and let  $A, B, S, T, P$  and  $Q$  be mappings from  $X$  into itself such that the following conditions are satisfied:

3.1(a)  $P(X) \subset ST(X)$  and  $Q(X) \subset AB(X)$ ,

3.1(b)  $(P, AB)$  is compatible of type  $(\beta)$  and  $(Q, ST)$  is weak compatible,

3.1(c) there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$

$$F \left( \begin{matrix} M^2(Px, Qy, \theta, kt), M^2(ABx, STy, \theta, t), M^2(Px, ABx, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Qy, STy, \theta, t), M^2(Px, STy, \theta, t), M^2(ABx, Qy, \theta, t) \end{matrix} \right) \geq 1$$

Then  $A, B, S, T, P$  and  $Q$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof** Let  $x_0 \in X$ , then from 3.1(a) we have  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that

$$Px_0 = STx_1 \text{ and } Qx_1 = ABx_2$$

Inductively, we construct sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$Px_{2n-2} = STx_{2n-1} = y_{2n-1} \text{ and } Qx_{2n-1} = ABx_{2n} = y_{2n}$$

Put  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in 3.1(c) then we have

$$F \begin{pmatrix} M^2(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, \theta, kt), M^2(ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, \theta, t), M^2(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Px_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, \theta, t), M^2(ABx_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, \theta, t) \end{pmatrix} > 1$$

$$F \begin{pmatrix} M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \theta, kt), M^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, t), \\ M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \theta, t), M^2(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, t), \\ M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, t), M^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}, \theta, t) \end{pmatrix} > 1$$

$$F \begin{pmatrix} M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \theta, kt), M^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, t), \\ M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \theta, t), M^2(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, t), \\ M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, t), \\ M^2\left(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, \frac{t}{2}\right) \star M^2\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \theta, \frac{t}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix} > 1$$

From condition 3.2 (a) we have

$$M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \theta, kt) \geq M^2\left(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, \frac{t}{2}\right) \star M^2\left(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

we have

$$M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \theta, kt) \geq M^2\left(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

That is

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \theta, kt) \geq M\left(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \theta, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

Similarly we have

$$M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, \theta, kt) \geq M\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \theta, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

Thus we have

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \theta, kt) \geq M\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \theta, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \theta, t) \geq M\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \theta, \frac{t}{2^k}\right)$$

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, \theta, t) \geq M\left(y_0, y_1, \theta, \frac{t}{2^{nk}}\right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence  $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, \theta, t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $t > 0$ , we can choose  $n_0 \in N$  such that

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, \theta, t) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ for all } n > n_0.$$

For any  $m, n \in N$  we suppose that  $m \geq n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_n, y_m, \theta, t) &\geq M\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \theta, \frac{t}{m-n}\right) \star M\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \theta, \frac{t}{m-n}\right) \star \\ &\dots \star M\left(y_{m-1}, y_m, \theta, \frac{t}{m-n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$M(y_n, y_m, \theta, t) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \star (1 - \epsilon) \star \dots \star (1 - \epsilon) (m - n) \text{ times}$$

$$M(y_n, y_m, \theta, t) \geq (1 - \epsilon)$$

And hence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Since  $(X, M, \star)$  is complete,  $\{y_n\}$  converges to some point  $z \in X$ . Also its subsequences converges to the same point  $z \in X$ .

That is

$$\{Px_{2n+2}\} \rightarrow z \text{ and } \{STx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z$$

$$\{Qx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z \text{ and } \{ABx_{2n}\} \rightarrow z$$

As  $(P, AB)$  is compatible pair of type  $(\beta)$ , we have

$$M(PPx_{2n}, (AB)(AB)x_{2n}, \theta, t) = 1, \text{ for all } t > 0$$

$$\text{Or } M(PPx_{2n}, ABz, \theta, t) = 1$$

Therefore,  $PPx_{2n} \rightarrow ABz$ .

Put  $x = (AB)x_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in 3.1(c) we have

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(P(AB)x_{2n}, Qy, \theta, kt), M^2(AB(AB)x_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, \theta, t), \\ M^2(P(AB)x_{2n}, AB(AB)x_{2n}, \theta, t), M^2(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, \theta, t) \\ M^2(P(AB)x_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, \theta, t), M^2(AB(AB)x_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and 3.1(a) we get

$$M^2((AB)z, z, \theta, kt) \geq M^2((AB)z, z, \theta, t)$$

That is  $M((AB)z, z, \theta, kt) \geq M((AB)z, z, \theta, t)$

so we have

$$ABz = z.$$

Put  $x = z$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in 3.1(c) we have

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(Pz, Qx_{2n+1}, \theta, kt), M^2(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, \theta, t), \\ M^2(ABz, STx_{2n+1}, \theta, t) * M^2(Pz, ABz, \theta, t) \\ M^2(Pz, STx_{2n+1}, \theta, t), M^2(ABz, Qx_{2n+1}, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  3.2 (a) we have

That is  $M^2(Pz, z, \theta, kt) \geq M^2(Pz, z, \theta, t)$

And hence  $M(Pz, z, \theta, kt) \geq M(Pz, z, \theta, t)$

we get  $Pz = z$

So we have  $ABz = Pz = z$ .

Putting  $x = Bz$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in 3.1(c), we get

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(PBz, Qx_{2n+1}, kt), M^2(ABBz, STx_{2n+1}, t), \\ M^2(PBz, ABBz, t), M^2(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t), \\ M^2(PBz, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(ABBz, Qx_{2n+1}, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , 3.2(a) we get

$$M^2(Bz, z, \theta, kt) \geq M^2(Bz, z, \theta, t)$$

That is  $M(Bz, z, \theta, kt) \geq M(Bz, z, \theta, t)$

we have  $Bz = z$

And also we have  $ABz = z$  implies  $Az = z$

Therefore  $Az = Bz = Pz = z$ .

As  $P(X) \subset ST(X)$  there exists  $u \in X$  such that

$$z = Pz = STu$$

Putting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = u$  in 3.1(c) we get

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(Px_{2n}, Qu, \theta, kt), M^2(ABx_{2n}, STu, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, \theta, t), M^2(Qu, STu, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Px_{2n}, STu, \theta, t), M^2(ABx_{2n}, Qu, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(z, Qu, \theta, kt), M^2(z, STu, \theta, t), M^2(z, z, \theta, t) \\ M^2(Qu, STu, \theta, t), M^2(z, STu, \theta, t), M^2(z, Qu, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

$$M^2(z, Qu, \theta, kt) \geq M^2(z, Qu, \theta, t)$$

That is  $M(z, Qu, \theta, kt) \geq M(z, Qu, \theta, t)$

we have  $Qu = z$

Hence  $STu = z = Qu$ .

Hence  $(Q, ST)$  is weak compatible, therefore, we have

$$QSTu = STQu$$

Thus  $Qz = STz$ .

Putting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = z$  in 3.2(c) we get

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(Px_{2n}, Qz, \theta, kt), M^2(ABx_{2n}, STz, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, \theta, t), M^2(Qz, STz, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Px_{2n}, STz, \theta, t), M^2(ABx_{2n}, Qz, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(z, Qz, \theta, kt), M^2(z, STz, \theta, t), M^2(z, z, \theta, t) \\ M^2(Qz, STz, \theta, t), M^2(z, STz, \theta, t), M^2(z, Qz, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

$$M^2(z, Qz, \theta, kt) \geq M^2(z, Qz, \theta, t)$$

And hence  $M(z, Qz, \theta, kt) \geq M(z, Qz, \theta, t)$

we get  $Qz = z$ .

Putting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = Tz$  in 3.2(c) we get

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(Px_{2n}, QTz, \theta, kt), M^2(ABx_{2n}, STTz, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, \theta, t), M^2(QTz, STTz, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Px_{2n}, STTz, \theta, t), M^2(ABx_{2n}, QTz, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

As  $QT = TQ$  and  $ST = TS$  we have

$$QTz = TQz = Tz$$

And  $ST(Tz) = T(STz) = TQz = Tz$ .

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(z, Tz, \theta, kt), M^2(z, Tz, \theta, t), M^2(z, z, \theta, t) \\ M^2(Tz, Tz, \theta, t), M^2(z, Tz, \theta, t), M^2(z, Tz, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$



$$M^2(z, Tz, \theta, kt) \geq M^2(z, Tz, \theta, t)$$

Therefore  $M(z, Tz, \theta, kt) \geq M(z, Tz, \theta, t)$

we have  $Tz = z$

Now  $STz = Tz = z$  implies  $Sz = z$ .

Hence  $Sz = Tz = Qz = z$

we have

$$Az = Bz = Pz = Sz = Tz = Qz = z$$

Hence  $z$  is the common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T, P$  and  $Q$ .

**Uniqueness** Let  $u$  be another common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T, P$  and  $Q$ . Then

$$Au = Bu = Su = Tu = Pu = Qu = u$$

Putting  $x = u$  and  $y = z$  in 3.2(c) then we get

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(Pu, Qz, \theta, kt), M^2(ABu, STz, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Pu, ABu, \theta, t), M^2(Qz, STz, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Pu, STz, \theta, t), M^2(ABu, Qz, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

Taking limit both side then we get

$$F \left( \begin{array}{l} M^2(u, z, \theta, kt), M^2(u, z, \theta, t), M^2(u, u, \theta, t) \\ M^2(z, z, \theta, t), M^2(u, z, \theta, t), M^2(u, z, \theta, t) \end{array} \right) > 1$$

$$M^2(u, z, \theta, kt) \geq M^2(u, z, \theta, t)$$

And hence  $M(u, z, \theta, kt) \geq M(u, z, \theta, t)$

we get  $z = u$ .

That is  $z$  is a unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T, P$  and  $Q$  in  $X$ .

**Remark** If we take  $B = T = I$  identity map on  $X$  in Theorem then we get following Corollary

**Corollary 3.2** Let  $(X, M, \star)$  be a complete Fuzzy 2- metric space and let  $A, S, P$  and  $Q$  be mappings from  $X$  into itself such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a)  $P(X) \subset S(X)$  and  $Q(X) \subset A(X)$ ,
- (b)  $(P, A)$  is compatible of type  $(\beta)$  and  $(Q, S)$  is weak compatible,
- (c) there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$

$$F \left( \begin{matrix} M^2(Px, Qy, \theta, kt), M^2(Ax, Sy, \theta, t), M^2(Px, Ax, \theta, t), \\ M^2(Qy, Sy, \theta, t), M^2(Px, Sy, \theta, t), M^2(Ax, Qy, \theta, t) \end{matrix} \right) \geq 1$$

Then  $A, S, P$  and  $Q$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

## References

1. Vasuki R., A common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 97 (1998) 395-397. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(96\)00342-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(96)00342-9).
2. Cho Y.J., Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, J. Fuzzy Math. 5 (4) (1997) 949-962.
3. Singh B., Chauhan M.S., Common fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 115 (2000) 471-475. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(98\)00099-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(98)00099-2).
4. Sharma S., Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 127 (2002) 345-352. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(01\)00112-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(01)00112-9).
5. Gregori V., A. Sapena, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 125 (2002) 245-253. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(00\)00088-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(00)00088-9).
6. George A., Veeramani P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994) 395-399. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(94\)90162-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90162-7).
7. Kramosil O. and Michalek J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 11 (1975), 336-344.

8. Grabiec M. , Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (1988) 385-389.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(88\)90064-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(88)90064-4).
9. Mihet D., A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 144 (2004) 431-439. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(03\)00305-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(03)00305-1).
10. Mihet D., On fuzzy contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 158 (2007) 915-921. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2006.11.012>.
11. Mihet D., A class of contractions in fuzzy metrics spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 161 (2010) 1131-1137. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2009.09.018>.
12. Qiu D., Shu L., Supremum metric on the space of fuzzy sets and common fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings, Inform. Sci. 178 (2008) 3595-3604. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2008.05.018>.
13. Qiu D., Shu L., Guan J., Common fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings under  $\phi$ -contraction condition, Chaos Solitons Fractals 41(2009) 360-367. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2008.01.003>.