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#### Abstract

The rapid development of information technology turned internet as the basic means and wide choice for communications. Due to extensive adoption of internet for communications it is essential these days to conceal the message from unintended reader. The present paper describes a new encryption algorithm using Chebyshev polynomial of I kind. The plain text is encrypted in three rounds each round consisting of two stages with the concatenation of the previous cipher character with different keys. For making the algorithm more secure the key for the first round of encryption is generated from the main key (agreed upon by the sender and the receiver) and the subsequent round keys are concatenated with the previous round keys. The stream cipher proposed here has several advantages over conventional cryptosystems.


Keywords: Chebyshev Polynomial, Encryption, Decryption, Concatenation

Introduction: Secure transmission of the sensitive information to the intended recipient with confidentiality is the essence of cryptography. Message encryption is one way of achieving the confidentiality [10]. Performing modular arithmetic operations and bit-wise logical XOR operations repeatedly strengthens of the cipher [4]. But simple XOR encryption is vulnerable to several types of active and passive attacks. Performing logical XOR operation along with the concatenation with the previous round cipher enhances the security levels.

## Concatenation:

String concatenation is an operation used in co programming and data base theory. In conve cryptosystems like AES concatenation coding in stri called "serial concatenation". M.IsmailJabiullahEt.al.[3 concatenation technique of two or more keys to crea1 encryption key. Andres UHL Et.al. [1]used bit concatc The firsur process in image and video encryption. Subhas BarmanEt.al. [11]used concatenation to generate cryptographic keys for finger print based biometric system. In the present paper
concatenation technique is used in designing the cipher and also in generating the schedule round key from the agreed upon main key. The message stream is encrypted in three rounds, each round consisting of two stages. In first stage each character is encrypted using Chebyshev polynomial of I kind and in second stage concatenation technique is applied to encrypt the characters except for the first character.

## Chebyshev polynomial of First kind:

The Chebyshev polynomials are orthogonal polynomials defined as the solution of the Chebyshev differential equation
$\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+n^{2} y=0$
The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are defined by the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{0}(x)=1 \\
& T_{1}(x)=x \\
& T_{n+1}(x)=2 x T_{n}(x)-T_{n-1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{x})=2 \mathrm{x}^{2}-1 \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{3}(\mathrm{x})=4 \mathrm{x}^{3}-3 \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{4}(\mathrm{x})=8 \mathrm{x}^{4}-8 \mathrm{x}^{2}+1 \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{5}(\mathrm{x})=16 \mathrm{x}^{5}-20 \mathrm{x}^{3}+5 \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{6}(\mathrm{x})=32 \mathrm{x}^{6}-48 \mathrm{x}^{4}+18 \mathrm{x}^{2}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

First few Chebyshev polynomials of I kind are shown in the following graph


## Earlier work on Chebyshev Polynomial:

Chebyshev Polynomials have been recently proposed for assigning public key cryptosystems. G.J.Fee Et.al.[2] used Chebyshev Polynomial $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ for replacing monomial $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}}$ in Diffie-Helman and in RSA algorithms. Chebyshev polynomial can be mapped into classical discrete log problem. Based on Chebyshev Polynomial, we get RSA algorithm. Kai-Yuen Cheong [5] used Chebyshev polynomial to compare chaotic encryption systems with one-way functions to get new insights for chaos-based cryptosystems.K. Prasadh Et.al [9] described public key encryption based on Chebyshev polynomial.

## Proposed Method:

## Procedure for schedule round key generation:

If two communicating parties want to communicate with each other first they agree upon to use a 8 digit decimal number to act as the secret key or main key for their communication. The 8 digit key is divided into two equal parts $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}$.
$\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4} \mathrm{~K}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{6} \mathrm{~K}_{7} \mathrm{~K}_{8}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{6} \mathrm{~K}_{7} \mathrm{~K}_{8}$
The message to be communicated is encrypted in 3 rounds with different keys. The key for each round of encryption/decryption is derived from the agreed upon main key $K=K_{A}+K_{B}$ using some permutation function.

The main key $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4} \mathrm{~K}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{6} \mathrm{~K}_{7} \mathrm{~K}_{8}$. The key for first round of encryption is
$K_{I}=K_{1 I} K_{2 I} K_{3 I} \cdots \cdots . . K_{8 I} \quad$ where
$K_{I A}=K_{1 I} K_{2 I} K_{3 I} K_{4 I}$
$K_{I B}=K_{5 I} K_{6 I} K_{7 I} K_{8 I}$

$$
\text { and } K_{1 I}=K_{1} K_{2,} K_{2 I}=K_{2} K_{3} \ldots \ldots . . K_{8 I}=K_{8} K_{1}
$$

If the products $K_{1} K_{2}, K_{2} K_{3}, \ldots \ldots . K_{8} K_{1}$ exceed 256 then modular operation of mod 256 is applied.
The key for second round of encryption is generated from the first round key using concatenation technique
$K_{I I}=K_{1 I I} K_{2 I I} K_{3 I I} \ldots \ldots . . K_{8 I I}$ where
$K_{I I A}=K_{1 I I} K_{2 I I} K_{3 I I} K_{4 I I}$
$K_{I I B}=K_{5 I I} K_{6 I I} K_{7 I I} K_{8 I I}$
and $K_{1(I I)}=K_{1 I} K_{2 I,} K_{2 I I}=K_{2 I} K_{3 I, \cdots \ldots \ldots .} K_{8 I I}=K_{8 I} K_{1 I}$
The key for third round of encryption is generated from the second round key using concatenation technique
$K_{\text {III }}=K_{1 I I I} K_{2 I I I} K_{3 I I I} \ldots \ldots . . . K_{\text {IIII }}$ where
$K_{\text {IIIA }}=K_{1 I I I} K_{2 I I I} K_{3 I I I} K_{4 I I I}$
$K_{\text {IIIB }}=K_{5 I I I} K_{6 I I I} K_{7 I I I} K_{8 I I I}$
and $K_{1(I I I)}=K_{1 I I} K_{2 I I} K_{2 I I I}=K_{2 I I} K_{3 I I}, \ldots \ldots . . K_{8 I I I}=K_{8 I I} K_{1 I I}$

## Encryption:

Suppose that the plain text stream to be communicated be M with characters $M_{1} M_{2} M_{3} \ldots \ldots . M_{n}$. All the characters $M_{1} M_{2} M_{3} \ldots \ldots . M_{n}$ are coded to equivalent binary numbers using ASCII code table. Each character of the message stream is encrypted in 3 rounds and each round consisting of two stages of encryption, the first stage using Chebyshev polynomial with the key $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and the second stage using concatenation technique with the key $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}$ starting from the first round key
$K_{I}=K_{1 I} K_{2 I} K_{3 I} \ldots \ldots . . K_{8 I} \quad$ where
$K_{I A}=K_{1 I} K_{2 I} K_{3 I} K_{4 I}$
$K_{I B}=K_{5 I} K_{6 I} K_{7 I} K_{8 I}$

## I Stage Encryption:

In first stage each character of the message is encrypted using Chebyshev polynomial
$T_{0}(x)=1$
$T_{1}(x)=x$
$T_{n+1}(x)=2 x T_{n}(x)-T_{n-1}(x)$
Compute $\left[T_{1}\left(K_{\text {IA }}\right)\right]_{\text {mod } 256}=\left(K_{L A}\right)_{\text {mod } 256}$ and the resulting number is converted to 8 bit binary number using ASCII code table. Logical XOR operation is performed between 8 bit binary equivalent numbers of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\left[T_{1}\left(K_{I A}\right)\right]_{\text {mod } 256}$ to get the first stage cipher character $C_{1}^{1}$ of the first plain text character $\mathrm{M}_{1}$.
$C_{1}^{1}=M_{1} X O R\left[T_{1}\left(K_{I A}\right)\right]_{\bmod 256}$
Similarly logical XOR operation is performed between the 8 bit binary equivalent numbers of the second character $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\left[T_{2}\left(K_{I A}\right)\right]_{\bmod 256}=\left[2\left(K_{I A}\right)^{2}-1\right]_{\bmod 256}$ to get the first stage cipher character $C_{2}^{1}$ of the plain text character $\mathrm{M}_{2}$.
$C_{2}^{1}=M_{2} \operatorname{XOR}\left[T_{2}\left(K_{I A}\right)\right]_{\bmod 256}$
All the plain text characters are encrypted in first stage to get the cipher characters $\quad C_{1}^{1} C_{2}^{1} C_{3}^{1} \ldots . . C_{n}^{1}$ $C_{n}^{1}=M_{n} X O R\left[T_{n}\left(K_{I A}\right)\right]_{\bmod 256} n=, 12,3, \ldots \ldots n$

## II stage of Encryption:

The second stage of encryption is performed using the remaining half part $K_{I B}$ of the first round key $K_{I}$. The output of the first stage encryption is $C_{1}^{1} C_{2}^{1} C_{3}^{1} \ldots \ldots C_{n}^{1}$. Logical XOR operation is performed between the 8 bit binary equivalent numbers of $C_{1}^{1}$ and $\left[\left(K_{I B}\right)\right]_{\text {mad } 256}$ to get the second stage cipher character $C_{1}^{2}$ of the plain text character $\mathrm{M}_{1}$
$C_{1}^{2}=C_{1}^{1} X O R\left[K_{I B}\right]_{\bmod 256}$
The first cipher character $C_{1}^{2}$ of the second stage is concatenated with the key $K_{I B}$ and logical XOR operation is performed between the 8 bit binary equivalent numbers of $C_{2}^{1}$ and $\left\lceil K_{I B}^{*} * C_{1}^{2}\right\rceil$...ansc to get the second cipher character $C_{2}^{2}$ of the second stage.
$C_{\lambda}^{2}=C_{\lambda}^{1} \mathrm{XOR}\left\lceil K_{I R} * C_{1}^{2}\right\rceil$
[Here $C_{1}^{2}$ is binary number and $K_{I B}$ is a decimal number. So, $C_{1}^{2}$ is converted to equivalent decimal number and then $\left\lceil K_{I R} * C_{1}^{2}\right\rceil$. is calculated]

In a similar way all the characters of the first stage encryption are second stage encrypted to get the second stage cipher characters $C_{1}^{2} C_{2}^{2} C_{3}^{2} \ldots . . C_{n}^{2}$
$C_{n}^{2}=C_{n}^{1} X O R\left[K_{B}^{*} C_{n-1}^{2}\right]_{\bmod 256} n=2,3,4 \ldots \ldots$
$C_{n}^{2}=C_{n}^{1} X O R\left[K_{I B}\right]_{\bmod 256} n=1$
The two stage encryption process is repeated in two more rounds with different keys $K_{I I}, K_{I I I}$ which are generated from the previous round key using concatenation technique. Then all the 8 bit binary numbers are coded to the text characters using ASCII code table and communicated to the receiver as the cipher text in a public channel $K_{I I I}$

## Decryption:

The receive after receiving cipher text converts all the cipher characters $C_{1}^{2} C_{2}^{2} C_{3}^{2} \ldots \ldots C_{n}^{2}$ to equivalent 8 bit binary numbers. The decryption process is done in three rounds, each round consisting of two stages using the key $K_{B}$ for first stage and the key $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ for the second stage starting from the third round key

## I Stage Decryption:

Logical XOR operation is performed between the 8 binary equivalent numbers of the first cipher character
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$C_{1}^{2}$ and $\left[K_{I I I B}\right]_{\bmod 256}$ to get the first stage decipher character $D_{1}^{1}$.
$D_{1}^{1}=C_{1}^{2} X O R\left[K_{I I I B}\right]_{\bmod 256}$

Logical XOR operation is performed between 8 bit binary equivalents of $C_{2}^{2}$ and $\left[K_{I I I B} * C_{1}^{2}\right]_{\bmod 256}$ to get the first stage decipher character $D_{2}^{1}$
$D_{2}^{1}=C_{2}^{2} X O R\left[K_{I I I B}^{*} C_{1}^{2}\right]_{\bmod 256}$ [Here also $K_{I I I B}$ is
decimal and $C_{1}^{2}$ is binary. So, $C_{1}^{2}$ is converted to equivalent binary number and $\left[K_{I I I B} * C_{1}^{2}\right]_{\bmod 256}$ is calculated]

$$
D_{n}^{1}=C_{n}^{2} X O R\left[K_{I I I B} * C_{n-1}^{2}\right]_{\bmod 256} n=2,3, \ldots \ldots
$$

## II stage of decryption:

The second stage of decryption is performed using the first half part $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ of the key K starting from the third round key. The output decipher characters of the first stage of decryption are $D_{1}^{1}, D_{2}^{1}, D_{3}^{1} \ldots \ldots . D_{n}^{1}$. Logical XOR operation is performed between binary equivalents of the first character $D_{1}^{1}$ and $\left[T_{1}\left(K_{I I I A}\right)\right]_{\bmod 256}$ to get the first plain text character $\mathrm{M}_{1}$.
$M_{1}=D_{1}^{1} X O R\left[T_{1}\left(K_{I I I A}\right)\right]_{\bmod 256}$
Logical XOR operation is performed between the second character $D_{2}^{1}$ and $\left[T_{2}\left(K_{I I I A}\right)\right]_{\bmod 256}$ to get the second plain text character $\mathrm{M}_{2}$
$M_{2}=D_{2}^{1} X O R\left[T_{2}\left(K_{I I I A}\right)\right]_{\bmod 256}$
Similarly all the first stage decipher characters are decrypted to get the plain text characters $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3} \ldots \ldots . M_{n}$.
$M_{n}=D_{n}^{1} X O R\left[T_{n}\left(K_{\text {IIIA }}\right)\right]_{\bmod 256}$

The two stage decryption process is repeated for two more times with the keys $K_{I I}, K_{I}$ and all the 8 bit binary numbers are converted to text characters using ASCII code table to get the original message.

## Example for one round of Encryption and Decryption:

Encryption Consider the plain text 'mmmmmm'

| Plaintext | mmmmm |
| :---: | :---: |
| Key | $\mathbf{1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I Stage Cipher c1 = } 10111111 \\
& \text { c2 }=11101010 \\
& \text { c3 = } 11000111 \\
& \text { c4 }=00001100 \\
& \text { c5 = } 00010101 \\
& \text { c6 = } 01101101 \\
& \text { II Stage Cipher h1 =10010001 } \\
& \text { h2 =1 } 1100100 \\
& \text { h3 = } 00111111 \\
& \text { h4 = } 01011110 \\
& \text { h5 = } 11110001 \\
& \text { h6 = } 00100011
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Cipher text } \quad \succ \text { ä } ?^{\wedge} \tilde{n} \#
$$

## Decryption

Cipher text ‘ä ? ${ }^{\wedge} \tilde{n} \#$
Key 12345678
I Stage Decipher d1 = 10111111
d2 = 11101010
d3 = 11000111
d4 = 00001100
d5 = 00010101
d6 = 01101101

II Stage Decipher m1 =0 1101101

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m} 2=011101101 \\
& \mathrm{~m} 3=011101101 \\
& \mathrm{~m} 4=01101101 \\
& \mathrm{~m} 5=0111011101 \\
& \mathrm{~m} 6=011
\end{aligned}
$$

Plain Text mmmmmm
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The two stage encryption process is repeated in three rounds using different keys as shown in the example.

Conclusions: The security of the stream cipher usually depends on the protection against correlation attacks based on linear feedback shift registers (LFSR) [ 6 ]. To resist different types of correlation attacks some authors [7] proposed Boolean functions. In the present stream cipher each character of the stream is encrypted in three rounds, each round consisting of two stages, the first stage using chebyshev polynomial of I kind with half part of the key and the second stage with the remaining part of the key. In the second stage encryption each character is concatenated with previous cipher character. The key for each round of encryption is different and is generated from the main key agreed upon by both the sender and the receiver. With the present available technology it is possible to check one million keys per second. Since in the proposed algorithm the schedule round key is different for different rounds and the round key is generated by concatenating the previous round key, brute force attacks to retrieve the key is quite impossible to execute using a one core processor.
Time Analysis: The complexity of a good encryption algorithm normally depends on two properties - space complexity which attributes to the amount of memory needed to store the algorithm and the time complexity which refers to the time required to run the program. In cryptography timing attack is a side channel attack in which the intruder can break the cipher depending on the time to run the program. Timing attack enables an attacker to extract secrets in the system by observing the time of response to various queries. Kocher [8] designed a timing attack to expose the secret keys for RSA algorithm. In the present algorithm demonstrated here in this paper the time taken for encryption and decryption for different plaintext characters is different. If we consider the message a six letter word consisting of same alphabet characters from A to Z (i.e., AAAAAA, BBBBBB etc.) then the time of encryption and decryption of the same message is different for different messages and for different trials. The following graph between alphabet series and the running time (in milliseconds) for different trials shows that the timing attack is impossible to execute for the present stream cipher.

Table 1

| Encryption <br> Time for <br> Alphabets <br> in milli Sec | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trial 1 | 390 | 375 | 468 | 296 | 250 | 390 | 265 |
| Trial 2 | 281 | 421 | 468 | 296 | 250 | 265 | 296 |
| Trial 3 | 265 | 328 | 234 | 593 | 296 | 265 | 328 |
| Trial 4 | 343 | 359 | 281 | 296 | 406 | 312 | 234 |
| Trial 5 | 343 | 406 | 484 | 265 | 187 | 187 | 218 |
| Encryption <br> Time for <br> Alphabets <br> in milli Sec | H | I | J | K | L | M | N |
| Trial 1 | 265 | 390 | 312 | 265 | 328 | 265 | 281 |
| Trial 2 | 265 | 250 | 312 | 296 | 250 | 187 | 218 |
| Trial 3 | 250 | 312 | 187 | 281 | 296 | 343 | 203 |
| Trial 4 | 203 | 328 | 203 | 265 | 328 | 296 | 296 |
| Trial 5 | 187 | 312 | 437 | 234 | 578 | 187 | 375 |
| Encryption <br> Time for <br> Alphabets <br> in milli Sec | O | P | Q | R | S | T | U |
| Trial 1 | 250 | 343 | 250 | 218 | 328 | 969 | 328 |
| Trial 2 | 390 | 375 | 234 | 234 | 265 | 296 | 250 |
| Trial 3 | 359 | 281 | 359 | 203 | 187 | 218 | 250 |
| Trial 4 | 218 | 250 | 218 | 234 | 296 | 281 | 203 |
| Trial 5 | 234 | 218 | 250 | 375 | 328 | 296 | 187 |
| Encryption <br> Time for <br> Alphabets <br> in milli Sec | V |  | W | X | Y |  | Z |
| Trial 1 | 328 | 250 | 218 | 296 | 296 |  |  |
| Trial 2 | 218 | 234 | 234 | 250 | 187 |  |  |
| Trial 3 | 234 | 281 | 281 | 171 | 250 |  |  |
| Trial 4 | 203 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 |  |  |
| 171 | 265 | 265 | 265 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 2

| Decryption <br> Time for <br> Alphabets <br> in milli Sec | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trial 1 | 125 | 187 | 171 | 156 | 46 | 156 | 156 |
| Trial 2 | 234 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 171 |
| Trial 3 | 250 | 187 | 140 | 203 | 93 | 62 | 218 |
| Trial 4 | 281 | 156 | 93 | 125 | 171 | 265 | 187 |
| Trial 5 | 203 | 125 | 234 | 109 | 265 | 171 | 187 |
| Decryption <br> Time for <br> Alphabets <br> in milli Sec | H | I | J | K | L | M | N |
| Trial 1 | 46 | 281 | 265 | 187 | 218 | 93 | 156 |
| Trial 2 | 78 | 203 | 234 | 78 | 125 | 218 | 125 |
| Trial 3 | 140 | 93 | 46 | 78 | 203 | 156 | 140 |
| Trial 4 | 187 | 125 | 140 | 179 | 140 | 203 | 78 |
| Trial 5 | 328 | 109 | 78 | 93 | 171 | 62 | 359 |
| Decryption <br> Time for <br> Alphabets <br> in milli Sec | O | P | Q | R | S | T | U |
| Trial 1 | 156 | 140 | 93 | 93 | 250 | 140 | 203 |
| Trial 2 | 109 | 93 | 109 | 156 | 46 | 62 | 109 |
| Trial 3 | 140 | 109 | 281 | 093 | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| Trial 4 | 93 | 109 | 78 | 93 | 156 | 109 | 125 |
| Trial 5 | 78 | 109 | 109 | 78 | 31 | 125 | 125 |
| Decryption <br> Time for <br> Alphabets <br> in milli Sec | V | W | X | Y | Z |  |  |
| Trial 1 | 78 | 187 | 78 | 93 | 109 |  |  |
| Trial 2 | 203 | 140 | 93 | 46 | 125 |  |  |
| Trial 3 | 156 | 78 | 62 | 125 | 93 |  |  |
| Trial 4 | 78 | 78 | 46 | 140 | 93 |  |  |
| Trial 5 | 62 | 234 | 78 | 93 | 125 |  |  |



When the key is symmetric or private the security of the key should be managed. In the present algorithm the schedule round key for each round of encryption/decryption is changed which is generated from the main secret key (agreed upon key) by concatenating the previous round key. Even though a part of the key t a particular round is leaked the subsequent round keys remain secured because the key is changing from time to time for each round. This enhances the safeguard of the key because the intruder cannot perform exhaust key search.
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