

International Journal Of Engineering And Computer Science ISSN:2319-7242 Volume 3, Issue 11 November, 2014 Page No. 9048-9052

3-Way Security for Packet Transmission

Mounika. B¹, Kishan Rao²

¹M.Tech in CSE Dept, GANPATHY ENGINEERING COLLEGE Warangal, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA *mounikabollaram10@gmail.com*

² Assistant Professor in CSE Dept, GANAPATHY ENGINEERING COLLEGE Warangal, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA *pvkishanrao@gmail.com*

Abstract: Encoding is the process of converting data into a format required for a number of information. Encoding involves the use of a code to change original data into a form that can be used by an external process. Interleaving is a process or methodology to make a system more efficient, fast and reliable by arranging data in a non-contiguous manner. Interleaving divides memory into small chunks. It is used as a high-level technique to solve memory issues for motherboards and chips. By increasing bandwidth so data can access chunks of memory, the overall performance of the processor and system increases. Modulation is the addition of information (or the signal) to an electronic or optical signal carrier. Modulation can be applied to direct current (mainly by turning it on and off), to alternating current, and to optical signals to inimize the impact of an unintentional disruption, it is important to identify its presence. Jamming makes itself known at the physical layer of the network, more commonly known as the MAC (Media Access Control) layer. The increased noise floor results in a faltered noise-to-signal ratio, which will be indicated at the client. It may also be measurable from the access point where network management features should able to effectively report noise floor levels that exceed a predetermined threshold. From there the access points must be dynamically reconfigured to transmit channel in reaction to the disruption as identified by changes at the physical layer.

Keywords: TCP/IP, RSS, Brute-Force Algorithm

1. INTRODUCTION

A packet is the unit of data that is routed between an origin and a destination on the Internet or any other packetswitched network. When any file (e-mail message, HTML file, Graphics Interchange Format file, Uniform Resource Locator request, and so forth) is sent from one place to another on the Internet, the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) layer of TCP/IP divides the file into "chunks" of an efficient size for routing. Each of these packets is separately numbered and includes the Internet address of the destination. The individual packets for a given file may travel different routes through the Internet. When they have all arrived, they are reassembled into the original file (by the TCP layer at the receiving end).

A packet-switching scheme is an efficient way to handle transmissions on a connectionless network such as the Internet. An alternative scheme, circuit-switched, is used for networks allocated for voice connections. In circuit-switching, lines in the network are shared among many users as with packet-switching, but each connection requires the dedication of a particular path for the duration of the connection.

Interference (Jamming) in wireless networks is an important example of malicious attacks in wireless networks. It is achieved

by deliberate transmission of radio signals to disrupt the communication in a wireless network by decreasing the signal-tointerference-noise ratio (SINR). Jamming leads to corrupted packets at the receiver, which results in a lowered throughput.

The following jamming detection strategies are provided by the jamming model:

- Detect jamming by RSS.
- Detect jamming by PDR.
- Detect jamming by RSS & PDR.

The following jamming mitigation strategies are provided by the jamming model:

- Mitigate by channel hop.
- When jamming is detected, honest nodes hop onto a different channel (given a multi-channel wireless protocol) to avoid being jammed.

Users can easily define their own jamming detection/mitigation strategies (classes) following the format in provided classes. The

jamming detection/mitigation class is designed to abstract the detail of extracting information from the channel.

2. RELATED WORK



Figure 1: a generic frame format for a wireless network.

Channel-selective jamming attacks were considered in [4], [17]. It was shown that targeting the control channel reduces the required power for performing a DoS attack by several orders of magnitude. To protect control channel traffic, control information was replicated in multiple channels. The "locations" of the channels where control traffic was broadcasted at any given time, was cryptographically protected. In [9], we proposed a randomized frequency hopping algorithm, to protect the control channel inside jammers. Finally, P⁻opper et. al. proposed a frequency hopping anti-jamming technique that does not require the sharing of a secret hopping sequence, between the communicating parties [12].

3. PROPOSED WORK

Here the contribution towards jamming attacks is reduced by using the two algorithms. Symmetric-key algorithms are a class of algorithms for cryptography that use the same cryptographic keys for both encryption of plaintext and decryption of cipher text. The keys may be identical or there may be a simple transformation to go between the two keys. The keys, in practice, represent a shared secret between two or more parties that can be used to maintain a private information link. This requirement that both parties have access to the secret key is one of the main drawbacks of symmetric key encryption, in comparison to public-key encryption. This is also known as private key encryption. In cryptography, a **brute-force attack**, or **exhaustive key search**, is a cryptanalytic attack that can, in theory, be used against any encrypted data (except for data encrypted in an information-theoretically secure manner).

Such an attack might be utilized when it is not possible to take advantage of other weaknesses in an encryption system (if any exist) that would make the task easier. It consists of systematically checking all possible keys or passwords until the correct one is found. In the worst case, this would involve traversing the entire search space.

When password guessing, this method is very fast when used to check all short passwords, but for longer passwords other methods such as the dictionary attack are used because of the time a brute-force search takes. When key guessing, the key length used in the cipher determines the practical feasibility of performing a brute-force attack, with longer keys exponentially more difficult to crack than shorter ones. A cipher with a key length of N bits can be broken in a worst-case time proportional to 2^{N} and an average time of half that.

Brute-force attacks can be made less effective by obfuscating the data to be encoded, something that makes it more difficult for an attacker to recognize when he/she has cracked the code. One of the measures of the strength of an encryption system is how long it would theoretically take an attacker to mount a successful brute-force attack against it.

Brute-force attacks are an application of brute-force search, the general problem-solving technique of enumerating all candidates and checking each one.

The proposed algorithm keeps these two in mind as they are essential in reducing the jamming attacks by using the packet hiding mechanism.

4. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND ASSUMPTIONS

Communication stands so deeply rooted in human behaviors and the structures of society that scholars have difficulty thinking of it while excluding social or behavioral events. Because communication theory remains a relatively young field of inquiry and integrates itself with other disciplines such as philosophy, psychology, and sociology, one probably cannot yet expect a consensus conceptualization of communication across disciplines

- **Noise**; interference with effective transmission and reception of a message.
- Sender; the initiator and encoder of a message
- **Receiver**; the one that receives the message (the listener) and the decoder of a message
- **Decode**; translates the senders spoken idea/message into something the receiver understands by using their knowledge of language from personal experience.
- **Encode**; puts the idea into spoken language while putting their own meaning into the word/message.
- **Channel**; the medium through which the message travels such as through oral communication (radio, television, phone, in person) or written communication (letters, email, text messages)
- **Feedback**; the receivers verbal and nonverbal responses to a message such as a nod for understanding (nonverbal), a raised eyebrow for being confused (nonverbal), or asking a question to clarify the message (verbal).

• **Message**; the verbal and nonverbal components of language that is sent to the receiver by the sender which conveys an idea.

We assume the adversary is in control of the communication medium and can jam messages at any part of the network of his choosing. The adversary can operate in full-duplex mode, thus being able to receive and transmit concurrently. This can be achieved, for example, with the use of multiple radios. In addition, the adversary is equipped with directional antennas that enable the reception of a signal from one node and jamming of the same signal at another. The adversary is assumed to be computationally bounded, although he can be significantly more powerful than the network devices. Solving well-known hard cryptographic problems is assumed to be time-consuming.

The implementation details of the network functions at every layer of the protocol stack are assumed to be public. For example, the adversary is aware of the digital modulation scheme, the error correction and detection schemes, the MAC, and routing protocol specifications, etc. Furthermore, the adversary is capable of physically compromising network devices and recovering stored information including cryptographic keys, pseudo-random (PRN) sequences, certificates, etc. Hence, the adversary can decrypt any information encrypted with globally known keys, or jam communications protected by globally known PRN sequences.

5. IMPLEMENTATION

The implementation environment has software such as JDK 1.6 running in Windows XP operating system. The system uses Java technology such as RMI (Remote Method Invocation). Java's SWING API is used to build user interface. The RMI technology lets nodes to communicate remotely. The simulation has three kinds of nodes namely centralized server, server and client.

The purpose of source is to send the data to the destination. There sender will be consisting of the Channel Encoder, Interleaver and the Modulator. For simulation of communication in WSN, the server node is able to send messages to client nodes based on the port number and the communication is routed through one of the centralized servers. Here user is able to select a file by clicking browse button. The Send button is to be initiated by user in order to send messages to client based on port number. The message or file selected is broken into packets with length 48 bytes.



Figure 2:screen shot for source

It selects the required data and sends it to a particular client. The data is sent in the form of packets with length 48 bytes. The server has to use specific IP address and port number based on the centralized server through which it is to send the messages to client. Select the data to transfer by clicking the browse.



Figure 3: File loading

After selecting the file click on the channel encoder. Channel encoding deals with error control during the transmission through the communication channel. It transforms the information sequence to the encoded sequence. The result we get after the modulation is "Code Word". Code word is an element of a standardized code or protocol. Each code word is assembled in accordance with the specific rules of the code and assigned a unique meaning. Code words are typically used for reasons of reliability, clarity, brevity, or secrecy.



Figure 4: Acknowledgment for loading File

The purpose of channel coding theory is to find codes which transmit quickly, contain many valid code words and can correct or at least detect many errors. While not mutually exclusive, performance in these areas is a trade off. So, different codes are optimal for different applications. The channel encoding will be done in this way. After the encoding is completed there will be a message displayed.



Figure 5: Channel encoding of the data

Interleaving, a technique for making forward error correction more robust with respect to burst errors. Interleaving is a way to arrange data in a noncontiguous way to increase performance. In error-correction coding, particularly within data transmission, disk storage, and memory. After the interleaving the data is converted into packets. Then the packets are used for the transmission. Interleaving the bits of the binary representation of coordinate values to produce a Z-order (curve) for points.



Figure 6: Status Corresponding to particular action

Identify the destination and data is converted into the packets and send to selected destination. If the data is sent properly there will be a message in the "status information"



Figure 7: Packet Transmission to the Packet hiding queue



Figure 8: Jamming Attack Detection

As seen in fig, the when the jamming attack is detected then it will be indicated with the red symbol at the corresponding node

6. CONCLUSION

We studied various protocol aware jamming attacks that can be launched in an access point based 802.11b network. We started by presenting the various jamming attacks ranging from trivial jamming to intelligent jamming attacks such as CTS corrupt jamming. We then presented simulation results showing the effect of misbehaving nodes that do not adhere to the underlying MAC protocol. The network throughput suffered drastically even in the presence of a single misbehaving node and more so with two misbehaving nodes. We then presented several hybrid attacks that increase the effectiveness of the attack or the decrease the probability of detection of the attack.

REFERENCES

[1] Acharya, M., T. Sharma, D. Thuente, D. Sizemore, "Intelligent Jamming

in 802.11b Wireless Networks", OPNETWORK 2004, August 2004.

[2] Acharya, M., and D. Thuente, "Intelligent Jamming Attacks,

Counterattacks and (Counter)2 Attacks in 802.11b Wireless Networks", OPNETWORK 2005, September 2005.

[3] Bellardo, J., S. Savage, "802.11 Denial-of-Service Attacks: Real Vulnerabilities and Practical Solutions", USENIX 2003.

[4] Fluhrer, S., I. Martin, I., A. Shamir, "Weakness in the key scheduling Algorithm of RC4", LNCS, 2259, 2001.

[5] Kyasanur, P., N. Vaidya, "Detection and Handling of MAC Layer Misbehavior in Wireless Networks", DSN 2003.

[6] Leon-Garcia, A., I. Widjaja, "Communication Networks," McGraw Hill,

Boston, 2000.

[7] Negi, R., A. Rajeswaran, "DoS Analysis of Reservation Based MAC Protocols", ICC 2005.

[8] Schiller, J., "Mobile Communications", Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing, Boston, 1999.

[9] Lab for Session 1332: Planning and Analyzing Wireless LANs, OPNETWORK 2005.

[10] IEEE Std 802.11b-1999/Cor 1-2001 Standard for wireless LAN medium

access control (MAC)